

Relationship among Responsibility Legal and Fraud Corporate

Authors:

Hossein Hemati nejad^{1,*}

¹Master's Degree in Accounting, Islamic Azad University, Dehdasht Branch

Seyed Ali khaleghi²

²Department of mathematics, Dehdasht branch, Islamic Azad University, Dehdasht, Iran

*Corresponding Author:

Hossein Hemati nejad

Master's Degree in Accounting, Islamic Azad University, Dehdasht Branch

Article Received: 25-May-2024

Revised: 15-June-2024

Accepted: 05-July-2024

ABSTRACT:

Scam corporate To Title one From subjects Main At world of Company Hi today Raised done Is That until the limit a lot On Function And Validity Company Hi related the effect may put view done Is That many From Shareholders, Shareholders And Fund The founders mostly To the reason Activity Hi fraudulently Different As deception Trades And the face Hi Financial With damage Hi Financial And social seriously Face may become Fraud corporate No single At countries Development Found view may be, But many From countries At now Development From Number increasing Oh you From Activity Hi Scam At the part corporate self Suffering may brand . To as Similar, Scam corporate To as Ongoing At now Increase Is . So, this study On determination Relationship Among Responsibility legal And Fraud corporate At Country concentrated done Is . So, researches legal Qualitative To determination framework legal Fraud corporate do became . To this Purpose Data I see From References Different primitive And Secondary even From Rules, regulation, documents legal, books, articles And other Contents related gathering done Is . Results obtained From this study sign gave That none framework legal effective To as special On Fraud corporate concentrated is not . So, How many regulation other By reference Services Financial " , also To title to Scam Company I see expansion Found . other laws That in the country framework Responsibility criminal Company I see Editing done are are From " Law a fight With Money Laundering , Law a fight With Corruption " , " Root Law Kenny Law Criminal Corruption " and... Therefore, this study also To as effective Advice Hi different particle for direct object To improvement Responsibility legal Fraud Corporate presentation done Is . this study also concepts theory And practical different particle for direct object At Background Responsibility legal Fraud corporate Presentation may give.

Keywords: *Fraud corporate ; Iran; Responsibility legal; Rules Business; mass knowing*

INTRODUCTION:

Scam corporate when Happening may falls That One acquisition And Work To as Targeted Facts particle for direct object fake may slow until the position self particle for direct object At Market Reinforcement slow down also Possible Is when face to give That One Employee Company deception to give Company With Theft Money, Involved Become At corruption, Or Presentation incorrect Cost I see To Purpose Profit Financial . Report Organization nations At 2016 in Survey global Fraud sign gave That Fraud To as medium 5 percent From sale yearly One Organization particle for direct object To Along (Satapathy , 2022). Responsibility corporate At Rights criminal Range Responsibility legal One Company particle for direct object At before Behavior And Errors People That Recruitment may slow determination may slow down Often To Title one From components Responsibility

substitute criminal At Opinion taken will be Unlike Situations That Language One Statute To as special One Company particle for direct object as Main Or the manager related With One Factor Individual Responsible knows (Khajanchi, 2023). Responsibility criminal corporate Possible Is To Title Jeremy perceived to be That By One the person Or Collection Oh you From People do may to be That To actions Or Turkish Verb Hi special Hand may hit That Contrary Law Is Or the mistake may they know But this Work particle for direct object To benefit One Company Or a group From Persons do may give , Or institution Other With Target Common acquisition And Work At during Work . corruption One Question big Is . With Rules Creation Responsibility criminal corporate Familiar Is That From Sentence they On Basis Fraud tax, Scam Financial, Scam Bank And also At Items corruption Is . With this Now, execution To are you well do not done Is. some From Rules, To special Law a fight

With corruption And Law a fight With money laundering, regulation special particle for direct object At item that What when Possible Is One Company To mass known done To Title Responsibility criminal corporate Responsible known be, determination may slow down On Basis Article 20 of the law a fight With corruption, At pink That Jeremy By People That To the reason Contract Work Or Arrangements Other From the side Company Activity may do do be, Possible Is organizational At before Scam Responsive be Court Excellent Country regulation particle for direct object At item Rules Collision With Activity Hi criminally committed By Company I see issued did That Expression may slow That One Organization Must At entire researches And So From it trial To crimes corporate Committed done By every One From members it, Representation had be Team Executive (Due Diligence , 2023).

files corruption numerous reported That Authorities Different At Levels Central And regional particle for direct object Involved he does, a reason On expansion wide Fraud Is Appropriate to be reward Staff may can Workers particle for direct object Encouragement slow until the duties self particle for direct object To correctness And effective do give And Fraud particle for direct object Decrease give (vahyodi, Achmad And Pamongkas, 2022). To the reason the nature Important link Among Responsibility legal And Scam corporate, One Research Full At item Relationship Among Law Company And mass knowing item Need Is . Ability confidence System Economic still With Difficulties basic caused From Fraud Hi corporate Without Attention To framework Hi legal And supervisory That At now Present At now Performance are, Face Is.

emphasis On Full to do Gap mass knowing And Law It is business . Goals this study are From:

.1Discovery Relation Among Responsibility legal And Fraud corporate

.2Determination Role Law Business And mass knowing At handling To Fraud corporate study how Relationship Responsibility legal And Fraud corporate To Reinforcement manner Hi Governance corporate Very Important Is . this study Can Insights useful particle for direct object To legislators, Regulators And companies Presentation to give until the processes Governance corporate self particle for direct object Better improvement to forgive And With ID The gaps And points Convergence At Law Business And Criminology, Possibility Behavior fraudulently particle for direct object Decrease give.

Method knowing:

Method Research every study One Component Necessary Is Pay To Target Suggested study Very Important Is understanding more complete, Full to do The gaps disciplinary, Integration data, Increase Ability confidence And accuracy, And Creation consequences practical, all of them With Use From Philosophy interpretivism At this Research From link Among Responsibility legal And Fraud corporate able

Access are . this To research fellow Permission Gives until the aspects several From phenomena particle for direct object Check slow reasons, activities And Patterns related With Scam corporate particle for direct object obvious slow down So, interpretivism That From Technique induction Use he does, The most appropriate study research To study Present Is Because On Relation Among Responsibility legal And Fraud corporate At Focus country environment has it . this researches legal Is That Contains Research, Tracing And study Data Hi Secondary related With Materials research, Rules And Rules And politics Hi related Is . To ID concepts legal, doctrine Hi legal And Rules legal To handling To Challenge Hi legal Different, From researches legal Use may to be To this Purpose From the method Research legal Normative » Use became data this study From References Different legal primitive, From Sentence Rules, Rules And other Journals legal Different Collect done Is . other References Secondary item Use At this study, Books Reference And the Web site Hi Online To Total Bring Data Hi item Need They were research fellow also From Approaches Conceptual And legal At Research Present Use done Is.

Check the literature:

Relation Among Responsibility legal And Scam corporate:

Responsibility Company Must At Conditions current Increase find century the twentieth the witness Appearance primitive phenomenon Hi mass And crime corporate Was tried done Is Goals Rights criminal And inefficiency Hi Financial caused From Rules inefficient Company I see balanced to be Increase crimes collar white sign the giver Fall able view At Governance corporate Is That in need Limitation Hi legal Strong Terry Is Standard Right To Title implementation of Success mixed Standards moral At framework acquisition And Work With Use From hybrid From mechanism Hi Governance Internal And Actions legal Proposal done is (Cali, 2020). Scam corporate a number From effects negative Economic On acquisition And the works has it, From Sentence damage To brand they, Decrease the trust At Among Shareholders, Cost Hi supply Financial higher And Decrease Value Company . Important Is That To as experimental Existence The owners big numerous particle for direct object Check do

On Level Fraud corporate the effect may (Zhao And colleagues, 2021). the effect Supervision they Possible Is Level Fraud Internal particle for direct object That At One Organization face may to give Decrease give With this Now, Possible Is One Company By How many investor big tunnel hit That Governance corporate particle for direct object Weakening he does And Fraud corporate particle for direct object Encouragement he does . environments corporate they can On Persons responsible moral Pressure come in do That leading To Behavior they To disclosure incorrect faces Financial It will be (Rashid And colleagues, 2022). many From acquisition And the works At

Result Fraud Accounting Break eaten are And health audit particle for direct object With hesitation seriously Face done Is . At this Conditions, Role Governance corporate, integrity Managers executive And auditors, the effect authority Internal, able the trust to be Records Accounting And Quality audit Factors are That Must item Attention appointment take (Mosavi And colleagues, 2022).

Government To To Maximum to deliver Potential commercial Citizens self With Decrease Conditions To those That At the part MSE Effort may do To the reason Law Creation Job Effort may slow Because the part commercial Country still To prosperity Continuation may give Responsibility units commercial the unit limited Is . not can From Fund Company More the river With this Now, shareholder Possible Is personally At some Conditions Responsible known (Tejomurti et al., 2022).

Rights Business And mass knowing:

the issues mass, That Majority researches mass knowing particle for direct object formation may give not can To as global To All societies actions to be every Society Oh you the issues special self particle for direct object At item mass And crime Will had That Possible Is From through Expertise local With Success Management to be Strategy positivist To Control mass single aspect Theory Conceptual is not That To intensity under the effect Ideas Hi western appointment taken Is . At this Therefore, most Actions Control mass With this Assumption Creation became That Society Homogenous Is, That leading To this Belief became That One action Control mass General Possible Is At All place item Use appointment Gird (Mostova, 2018). understanding able attention From System Court criminal From through analysis Economic Behavior Criminal To Hand came Is . areas Development Found And Newfound every two under the effect mass And crime are . the countries Forced have became To the reason Increase mass And crime That leading To Use inefficient From assets At the part private And General done Is, More To security And Stability General Cost do At This Now, single Number a little From Studies research Previous, Relation Among Level mass And crime And Inequality wealth particle for direct object Check (Widyastaman & Hartono , 2022). From landscape mass knowing And legal, Structure cultural bad Use From power over Behavior criminally To Method Hi non From how Use Authorities Governmental From Location Hi authority self And Behavior moral the effect may put At indeed, Number a lot From Authorities selected From situations optional self With Hand to hit To corruption Or With receive Punishments intense Law bad Use they do . With this Now, Even those That Committed bad Use From the power may become still To corruption Continuation may give as That From a lack of Outcomes obvious To those That this Work particle for direct object do may give view may be (Mathematics, 2020).

Rules And Instructions Hi That To All jobs actions may be, Law Business called may to be this Contains Kidney regulation legal Is That To as Direct Or indirect To the operation, establishment Company, the operation, Program tiny logistic, Agreement Letter I see And Activity Hi Different commercial Among international related may to be Law Business Necessary Is Because From Customers And also Company At equal to every type corruption At Company protection may slow down Rules To jobs That At every a market Activity may do Necessary are Because they To Title regulation Action may do that office Company Hi Profitable particle for direct object easy more may Slow (Alawoqleh, 2021). From Sui other, Law Business Organization I see particle for direct object capable may make until the On the operation self Matching With expectations office do And Contact With Government particle for direct object At the face Violation Law easy more may slow (Mathafena & Msimango-Galawe, 2023). Managers Business That businesses particle for direct object as Persons At codes behavioral self Definition they did, sociologists, And Persons normal That Organizations particle for direct object Force To establishing Relationship, Belief And Behavior different As Persons Real they do, inspiring Criminologists They were That ideas crimes organizational And crimes organizational particle for direct object Founding did (chair), 2017.(

Results And Discusssion:

this the part usually On Roy Results And Discuss From study Focus has it . study Present On Relation Among Responsibility legal And Fraud corporate concentrated Is . To Purpose Access To this Target, Responsibility legal Scam corporate determination may to be And To follow it Rules commercial That Scam corporate particle for direct object Considering may slow determination may to be.

Responsibility legal Scam corporate:

Scam corporate Phrase Is From Manipulation And deception to give intentional transaction Hi Financial, Statement I see And other Information related To Company, That By Beneficiaries related To benefits Personal do may to be Scam corporate One mass seriously At Opinion taken may to be That mostly leading To Outcomes legal To Organization I see And Persons related may (Christian , visual, And Arafa, 2019). Responsibility legal Scam corporate By regulation And Rules different Control may to be That Responsible upgrade responsiveness And transparency At Background the part corporate are . With this Now, Scam corporate To as special under System Law Criminal regulation not to be

At this Therefore, Company To Set From assets Or Persons organization done as One the person illegal Or legal Definition it will be From this Face view done Is That On Basis regulation Law corruption Official To every Individual (included Company) that Responsible to commit corruption be, Punishment

criminal actions may to be With this Now, Responsibility One Company At framework actions criminally On Basis Article 20 of the Law a fight With Promoting corruption done Is . On Basis this a matter, At pink That corporate At before Verb corrupt requisition be, Sentence And Accusations criminal against the unit commercial related Or Agents it issued Will became . With Existence that in law a fight With Corruption » From Language Clarity Use done Is, But Courts Country From this Law At Number limited From files related To Responsibility criminal companies To corruption Use they do.

Rules commercial At item Scam corporate:

With Increase Ongoing Fraud Hi corporate, Rules And regulation different To confidence From safety Beneficiaries And Shareholders related Editing And Performance done Is . Even With Existence that Scam corporate To as special under KUHP item Discuss appointment did not take Is, still regulation related To types of Different Fraud corporate, From Sentence fake, Scam Financial And Items other particle for direct object Contains may to be this Law also At determination Punishment Hi Different To crimes Criminal related With Activity Hi fraudulently Individual effective Is . Rules Other also Editing And situation done Is until the From safety Shareholders And Shareholders related Company confidence result to be To Title Example, Law No. 8/1995 (law Market capital) regulations related To Activity Hi Market Fund, With Focus On requirements Disclosure, security Trades And prevent From Fraud At Market Fund particle for direct object At On may (Subroto , 2021). this Law also At Development OJK , institution supervisory integrated Responsible Supervision On Activity Hi Market Fund effective Been Is.

plus On this, Law No. 20/2008 (" Law Company Hi Little, Little And Medium " or MSME) on Protection From Company Hi Little And medium in equal to Activity Hi commercial Unfair And Fraud Focus has it. this Law also To as effective Competition Fair particle for direct object Promotion done And From Rights Company Hi Little And medium in framework Trades commercial Protection done (Widiarty , 2023). plus On this, Law

Law Companies With Responsibility limited to Function, Development And liquidation Companies LLC ruler (Wibisana , 2022). this regulation Important At item reporting Financial, Responsibility Hi Managers And Governance corporate At Background Activity Hi illegal And fraudulently particle for direct object Contains may to be All this Rules At a fight With Fraud effective perceived may become With this Now, none One From this Rules To as special On Fraud corporate concentrated not done Is, That Effectiveness this Rules particle for direct object At framework Scam corporate At Country limited done Is.

plus On this, Law Root Kenny Corruption mainly On Decrease corruption At Country Focus has it . regulation this Law To the part corporate At Country

also expansion Found (Najih & Wiryani, 2020). this Law also Responsible Development Commission Root do to do It is corruption That To Also called " Komisi Pemberantasan Korupsi" (KPK). known may be, That To Title One agency Independent Responsible handling And Chase File Hi Different corruption At framework every two Title may to be Scam Individual And corporate With this Now, religion Letter Governmental At item Reference Services Financial also To as effective OJK particle for direct object To tuning And Supervision On institutes Services Financial Different As Company Hi Insurance, Bank I see And other the part Hi related mighty may make (Ardini, Maryam, & Munaa, 2020). So, OJK Role important At Discovery And a fight With Activity Hi fake At Background the part Financial ifa may slow down

So, At Country, Rules And regulation different To Protection From Rights And safety Shareholders And Shareholders Company I see Editing done Is . With this Now, none Discuss special At item Scam corporate under KUHP view not done Is, That until the limit a lot On framework legal Scam corporate At Country the effect may put With this Now, With Attention To Importance Editing And implementation of Rules And regulation At Relation With Scam corporate, regulation special At item Activity Hi fraudulently By OJK To the part corporate expansion Found . So, yet still Need urgent To Creation One framework legal effective And accurate To Fraud corporate At Country To overcome On challenges related With it That mostly Companies Different, Shareholders And Investors With it Face are, Existence has it That leading To consequences Financial And social negative it will be

RESULT:

Number File Hi Scam To Speed At now Increase Is, To special At world of Company I see, That leading To Worry Hi Major To Shareholders And Fund The founders may to be Number a lot From transactions Financial deceptive At two Decade the past especially At the part Bank And other Sections Non-financial view done Is That many From companies particle for direct object Encouragement done Is until the Actions important particle for direct object To prevent From Scam corporate From Development And Promotion One Company safe And effective do give environmental To Beneficiaries And other Fund The founders related So, world of corporate At Country also From Fraud corporate At Aman is not And many From Items Scam corporate At Country also Record done Is . With this Now, Rules And regulation different At throughout the world To a fight With Fraud corporate Editing done Is . At front side, framework legal At item Scam corporate At Country Strong And effective is not . this study also On Responsibility legal Scam corporate At Country concentrated done Is . this Research sign may to give That KUHP On Concept special Scam corporate Focus not slow Even If Rules And regulation different At

item Scam Individual has it. plus On this, Responsibility criminal Company At Country usually On Law AML And Law a fight With corruption concentrated Is . With this Now the law Root Kenny Corruption » also effective Been Is. plus On this, regulation OJK And KPK To Scam corporate expansion Found Is. So, framework legal Scam corporate At Country To are you well Development not found Is And Cause Creation Vacuum At framework legal related may to be So, Need instantaneous To Promotion Concept Fraud corporate At System legal Country To overcome On the issues related Existence has it . this study To as effective concepts practical Different One framework legal effective At item Fraud corporate particle for direct object highlight done Is.

Advice I see

Fraud corporate Worry many From Shareholders And Fund The founders particle for direct object Creation done Is And they particle for direct object From Fund put At Company Hi Different open may has it . this may can until the limit a lot On Function overall Economic Country related the effect put So, To improvement framework legal overall Scam corporate At Country, Government And other Organization I see And Beneficiaries related may can Actions Important different particle for direct object do give a number From this Advice I see At Under Presentation done Is: Company I see Must On Development And implementation of politics Hi basic Responsibility social Corporate focus do That To as effective transparency And Validity Company I see particle for direct object Upgrade give this order At prevent From have any Activity fraudulently effective Will Was . plus On this, this Approach also To as effective Relationships Strong Among Company And Shareholders / capital The founders related Creation may slow That leading To Results Financial effective may to be Reforms important Must At KUHP do to be until the Concept Scam corporate particle for direct object Promotion slow until the To formality known to be Even If Activities fraudulently Individual At KUHP highlight done Is, Lacking regulation And Rules Important At item Scam corporate Is . this Approach also At Encouragement Government Country To Editing Law Comprehensive separate With Focus On Fraud corporate effective Will Was . this order Diwan Excellent Country particle for direct object Encouragement may slow until the From this Law At Items Different Use slow down inclusion Scam corporate At Rules Business also Must Encouragement to be this order To Development And implementation of politics And regulation Important At jobs Different To a fight With Activities fraudulently Help he does . At this Therefore, Cooperation Among Organization Hi private And Governmental To Access To Results effective Very Important Will Was . plus On this, Promotion Such regulation And policies also Knowledge General particle for direct object At item Fraud corporate improvement forgives And they particle for direct object Encouragement he does until

the Role self particle for direct object At prevention From Such issues ifa do.

Consequences Research:

Concepts theory:

study Present To as effective Target self particle for direct object That usually On determination framework legal Scam corporate At Country concentrated Is, research fellow done Is . From this Face, Research Present At next to the Importance theory, From Opinion practical also effective Is . this study To as effective the literature related To Responsibility legal Fraud corporate At Country particle for direct object improvement forgiven Is . So From Check Texts over From limit view became That most Studies research On framework legal Fraud corporate At Country concentrated have became And At researches the past Vacuum Creation Have . So study Present To as effective this Gap particle for direct object Full Will did. plus On this, this study also One framework Conceptual effective At Relation With Relationship Among Rules commercial And Fraud corporate At Country Presentation done Is That To as effective Studies the future particle for direct object Encouragement he does until the On Restrictions Available At framework Rules commercial At item Fraud corporate At Country Focus do Results obtained From this study also At Presentation Role OJK At tuning Fraud corporate At Country effective Is . this Approach also novelty To study current addition did That leading To Results effective became . plus On this, Restrictions related To Fraud corporate At framework KUHP also At this study able view Is, That Can To researchers the future To Development Strategies research effective At determination Relationship special Among Fraud corporate And Rules And regulation commercial Country Helpful be concepts practical study Present separate From concepts theory self, At Promotion concepts Practical Different also effective Is That may can To as effectiveness Function overall study Present particle for direct object improvement forgive this study To as effective Limitation Hi KUHP At item Fraud corporate particle for direct object highlight done Is . So, this order Can Government Country And other organizations related particle for direct object Encouragement slow until the Rules And regulation important particle for direct object To a fight With Fraud corporate Editing And Performance do this study also Can At highlight to do Role agencies Different As KPK And OJK At Check Fraud corporate effective be That Can To Creation motivation At this Organizations To Editing And implementation of regulation Important To protection From companies And Beneficiaries related At equal to Frauds corporate Different As Money Help slow down money laundering, corruption And etc. this may can Government particle for direct object Encouragement slow until the One framework legal effective With Focus On Fraud corporate Creation slow down this To a fight With Scam corporate At Country Help may

slow And leading To Results effective may be plus On this, this study also may can To as effective politics The founders Different particle for direct object To Development And implementation of politics Hi important That On Promotion Activity Hi Anti corruption concentrated Is Encouragement slow down With this Now, this Research also may can To Title Source Informational item Use appointment take That may can To improvement Consciousness General At item Fraud corporate And Obligations legal Company I see To a fight With Fraud corporate And other Activity Hi criminally related Use to be Limitation I see And researches the future

About every study research Effectiveness And Restrictions self particle for direct object has it . To as Similar, Research current also Limitation Hi particle for direct object At On may take That avoid From they difficult Is . So, this the part Restrictions Different this study particle for direct object highlight he does That At smooth to do the way To researches future At Background Responsibility legal Fraud corporate effective perceived be . first, view done Is That study current To the reason bias researchers single On Fraud corporate At Texture Country concentrated done Is . Focus a lot Roy Scam Individual the face did not take Is That Effectiveness this study particle for direct object limited may slow down Second, study Present Only On Responsibility legal Scam corporate At Country, One Country civil concentrated done Is . none focused On Fraud corporate At framework One Country Common Data not done Is . access easy To Data Hi item Need At Country until the limit a lot On this Approach the effect put . Third, this study From One Approach Qualitative To analysis And analysis Relation Among Fraud corporate And Responsibility legal At Country To the reason Restrictions when Use did none Data a little Or primitive At this Background concentrated not done Is, That until the limit a lot On Function this study the effect put. So researches future may can With do Actions Important On this Limitation I see overcome slow down To Title Example, At researches future, may power One analysis Comparison Oh you To determination Responsibility legal Fraud corporate At framework countries Law customary And Rights civil do gave plus On this, At Studies the future, Data Hi a little primitive particle for direct object may power To determination Prevalence Fraud corporate At Country Total Bring did this To Access To Results effective Help Will did plus On this, At Studies the future, Fraud Individual And corporate particle for direct object may power To understanding Better Role Organization Hi Different At a fight With this Activity Hi fraudulently highlight did

REFERENCES:

1. Vahid Amini , & Mohammadreza God given (2022). Relation characteristic Hi audit Internal With Management Risk Fraud Companies Insurance . Journal Academic Approaches research novel Management And Accounting , 6(22), 55-70.
2. Mohammad Mohammadi . (2021). Trades With People Dependent, Level Variety And Break audit caused From Fraud Company : Analysis And analyze level Man audit Journal Academic Approaches research novel Management And Accounting , 5(18), 166-180.
3. Barzegar Abbaspur , Kasri , Islamic Mofidabadi , & Ebrahimi A split (2023). the effect Features auditor And Structure Board of Directors On Possibility Occurrence Fraud At faces Financial Companies commercial Verdict And Decision get At Accounting And Audit , 2(7), 115-145.
4. Parandin , & Kaveh . (2023). Check reaction Investors Ratio To sustainability mental And objective Components Profit At Companies suspicious To helplessness Financial And Fraud . Knowledge Accounting And audit Management , 12(48), 121-138.
5. Bahrami , Aso , Noroush , Rad , Abbas , Mohammadi Mulqarni , & Attaullah . (2020). before Nose Discovery Fraud At the face Hi Financial Companies accepted done At Exchange papers Bhadar Tehran . Studies experimental Accounting Finance , 17(65), 35-59.
6. Khajovi , Shokrallah , & Ebrahimi . (2017). Presentation One Approach Computational novel To Forecast Fraud At faces Financial, With Use From Methods clustering And Classification (evidence From Companies accepted At Exchange papers Bhadar Tehran). Advances Accounting , 9(2), 1-34.
7. Najafi Brand Abadi , Habib Zadeh , & Shams Natri (2001). mass Organization Found At mass knowing And Rights penalty Research Hi Rights Comparative , 4(4), 53-73.
8. Salehi , & Abuzar . (2018). Punishment And mass knowing And reasons And roots of It (study accurate Roy the level Beginning To mass). Studies Rights Citizenship , 7(3), 19-44.
9. Mr. (2018). application Criminology cultural At analysis crime children And Youth With rely on On concepts Carnival, Adrenaline And Life Second . Researches Rights Punishment And mass Science , 6(11), 191-214.
10. Alawaqleh, QA (2021). The effect of internal control on employee performance of small and medium-sized enterprises in Jordan: The role of accounting information system. The Journal of Asian Finance, Economics and Business, 8(3), 855-863. <https://doi.org/10.13106/jafeb.2021.vol8.no3.0855>
11. Ardini, L., Maryam, D., & Munaa, N. (2020). Fraud Detection in Indonesia National Health Insurance Implementation: a Phenomenology

- Experience From Hospital. In Proceeding 1st International Conference on Business & Social Sciences (ICOBUSS) 263 Surabaya, October 3rd – 4th, 2020 (pp. 263-270). <https://ojsicobuss.stiesia.ac.id/index.php/icobuss1st/article/view/26>
12. Christian, N., Basri, Y., & Arafah, W. (2019). Analysis of fraud triangle, fraud diamond and fraud pentagon theory to detect corporate fraud in Indonesia. *The International Journal of Business Management and Technology*, 3(4), 73-78. <https://www.theijbmt.com/archive/0928/608343945.pdf>
 13. Cressey, DR (2017). The poverty of theory in corporate crime research. In *Advances in criminological theory* (1st Edition., pp. 31-56). Routledge. <https://doi.org/10.4324/9781351317566-3>
 14. Due Diligence. (2023). Corporate Liability for Corruption in Indonesia. *Integrity Asia*. <https://www.duediligence-asia.com/corporate-liability-for-corruption-in-indonesia>
 15. Dyck, A., Morse, A., & Zingales, L. (2023). How pervasive is corporate fraud? *Review of Accounting Studies*, 1-34. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s11142-022-09738-5>
 16. Kally, KK (2020). A Critical Study on Corporate Criminal Liability with Special Reference to US and Indian Laws. Available at SSRN 3524189. <https://dx.doi.org/10.2139/ssrn.3524189>
 17. Khajanchi, U. (2023). Corporate Fraud and Corporate Criminal Liability. *Legal Services India*. <https://www.legalserviceindia.com/legal/article-4210-corporate-fraud-and-corporate-criminal-liability.html>
 18. Mathafena, RB, & Msimango-Galawe, J. (2023). Entrepreneurial orientation, market orientation and opportunity exploitation in driving business performance: moderating effect of interfunctional coordination. *Journal of Entrepreneurship in Emerging Economies*, 15(3), 538-565. <https://doi.org/10.1108/JEEE-03-2021-0114>
 19. Mousavi, M., Zimon, G., Salehi, M., & Stepnicka, N. (2022). The effect of corporate governance structure on fraud and money laundering. *Risks*, 10(9), 176. <https://doi.org/10.3390/risks10090176>
 20. Mustikasari, E., & Muryanto, YT (2019). Implementing "Legal Reality Model" Into Indonesia Corporate Criminal Liability. In 3rd International Conference on Globalization of Law and Local Wisdom (ICGLOW 2019) (pp. 127-130). Atlantis Press. <https://doi.org/10.2991/icgslow-19.2019.32>
 21. Mustofa, M. (2018). Cultural Studies and Criminology for Indonesia: An Analysis of the Structure of Crime Control Policy. *KnE Social Sciences*, 3(10), 501–509. <https://doi.org/10.18502/kss.v3i10.2930>
 22. Najih, M., & Wiryani, F. (2020). Learning the social impact of corruption: a study of legal policy and corruption prevention in Indonesia and Malaysia. *Journal of Social Studies Education Research*, 11(4), 175-189. <https://www.learntechlib.org/p/218545>
 23. Ningsih, SDR, Supanto, S., & Latifah, E. (2018). Corporation as the Actors of Fisheries Crime in Indonesia. *Jurnal Dinamika Hukum*, 18(2), 208-214. <http://dx.doi.org/10.20884/1.jdh.2018.18.2.2067>
 24. Rahmadia, F., Disemadi, HS, & Jaya, NSP (2020). Criminal Liability in Environmental Crimes Committed by Corporations after the Supreme Court Regulation Number 13 of 2016 in Indonesia. *Unram Law Review*, 4(1), 1-15. <https://doi.org/10.29303/ulrev.v4i1.86>
 25. Rashid, MA, Al-Mamun, A., Roudaki, H., & Yasser, QR (2022). An overview of corporate fraud and its prevention approach. *Australasian Accounting Business & Finance Journal*, 16(1), 101-118. <http://dx.doi.org/10.14453/aabfj.v16i1.7>
 26. Riyadi, BS (2020). Culture of abuse of power in Indonesia from the perspective of criminology and law. *International Journal of Criminology and Sociology*, 9, 274-284. <http://repository.unas.ac.id/id/eprint/696>
 27. Saputra, B. (2021). Modeling Australian Transaction Reports and Analysis Center (AUSTRAC) for Indonesia in Order to Combat Financial Crime. *NEGREI: Academic Journal of Law and Governance*, 1(2), 81-110. <http://doi.org/10.29240/negrei.v1i2.3822>
 28. Satapathy, S. (2022). Corporate Fraud and Criminal Liability of Directors. *International Journal of Law Management and Humanities*, 5(3), 1148. <https://doi.org/10.1000/IJLMH.113171>
 29. Subroto, W. (2021). Analysis of Legal Supervision in Preventing Criminal Practices and Capital Market Violations. *AKSELERASI: Jurnal Ilmiah Nasional*, 3(3), 27-37. <https://doi.org/10.54783/jin.v3i3.457>
 30. Tejomurti, K., Madalina, M., Pati, UK, & Setiawan, A. (2022). Legal Liability of Indonesia Private Company to Third Parties: A Lesson Learned from India. In *International Conference for Democracy and National Resilience 2022 (ICDNR 2022)* (pp. 232-236). Atlantis Press. https://doi.org/10.2991/978-2-494069-75-6_29
 31. Wahyudi, S., Achmad, T., & Pamungkas, ID (2022). Prevention Village Fund Fraud in Indonesia: Moral Sensitivity as a Moderating Variable. *Economies*, 10(1), 26. <https://doi.org/10.3390/economies10010026>

32. Wibisana, AW (2022). Can business judgment rule be a justification reason in corruption cases in state-owned enterprises in the form of limited liability companies? *International Journal of Research in Business and Social Science*, 11(6), 560-571. <https://doi.org/10.20525/ijrbs.v11i6.1975>
33. Widiarty, WS (2023). Legal Protection for Small Businesses in the Free Market Era in Indonesia Review of Law Number 20 of 2008 concerning Micro Small and Medium Enterprises. *AL-MANHAJ: Jurnal Hukum dan Pranata Sosial Islam*, 5(1), 603-610. <https://doi.org/10.37680/almanhaj.v5i1.2543>
34. Widyastaman, PA, & Hartono, D. (2022). Economic Inequality Decomposition and Spatial Pattern of Crime in Indonesia. *Papers in Applied Geography*, 8(3), 268-281. <https://doi.org/10.1080/23754931.2021.1991842>
35. Wiriadinata, W. (2014). Investigator Issue in Financial Service Crime in Indonesia. *Indonesia Law Review*, 4(5), 374-384. <https://dx.doi.org/10.15742/ilrev.v4n3.119>
- Wulandari, R., & Dermawan, MK (2022). Construction of Anti-Money Laundering Policy in Indonesia from an International Law. *Baltic Journal of Law & Politics*, 15(4), 1179-1199. <https://versita.com/menuscrypt/index.php/Versita/article/view/905/984>
36. Zhao, X., Yang, D., Li, Z., & Song, L. (2021). Multiple large shareholders and corporate fraud: evidence from China. *Frontiers of Business Research in China*, 15(1), <https://doi.org/10.1186/s11782-021-00106-9>
37. Zulyadi, R. (2020). Judge's Role in Court to Eradicate Corruption According to Law Number 20 in 2001 (Study of Decision 16/PID. SUS. K/2011/PN. MDN). *Budapest International Research and Critics Institute-Journal (BIRCI-Journal)*, 3(2), 1280-1288. <https://doi.org/10.33258/birci.v3i2.972>.