International Invention of Scientific Journal

Online ISSN: 2457-0958

Available Online at https://iisj.in Volume 8, Issue 04 (October-November-December)|2024|Page: 804-817

Review Paper-Medical Sciences

Hydroxychloroquine Therapeutic effects on COVID19: a systematic review and meta-analysis

Authors:

Sedigheh Jafariaskari¹, Mohammadhossein Sakhaei Shahreza^{2*}, Raed Fanoukh Aboqader Al-Aouadi³, Farhad Safarpoor Dehkordi⁴

¹Department of Parasitology, Faculty of Veterinary Medicine, University of Tehran, Tehran, Iran.

²Doctor Veterinary Medicine, Shahrekord Branch, Islamic Azad University, Shahrekord, Iran.

³College of Medicine, Al-Ayen Iraqi University, Thi-Qar, Iraq

⁴Independent Researcher.

*Corresponding Author:

Dr. Mohammadhossein Sakhaei Shahreza, Doctor Veterinary Medicine, Shahrekord Branch, Islamic Azad University, Shahrekord, Iran.

Article Received: 20-September -2024 Revised: 10-October-2024 Accepted: 30-October-2024

ABSTRACT:

Objective: To summarize, and prove almost the benefits and hurts of hydroxychloroquine (HCQ) or chloroquine for the treatment or prophylaxis of coronavirus infection 2019 (COVID-19).

Designs: A comprehensive electronic search of the terms relevant to this review to identify the relevant studies.

Setting: Systematic review and meta-analysis study.

Subjects: Articles focusing on HCQ and prophylaxis of COVID-19, published up to Jan 2021.

Intervention: Retrieved articles were subtly studied. Data obtained included the mutual relationship between the HCQ SARS-CoV-2.

Main Outcome Measure: the adequacy of security results from hydroxychloroquine or chloroquine are utilized in any setting in licenses with suspected COVID-19 or at hazard for SARS-CoV-2 disease.

Results: A add-up to 824 articles were screened, and 14 clinical considerations with an add-up to test measure of 5548 (2874 cases and 2674 controls) patients were included. A few clinical ponders illustrated great virological and clinical results with HCQ alone o in COVID-19 patients, even though the thinks about had significant methodological restrictions. A few of the other things about appeared negative comes about with HCQ treatment besides the hazard of unfavorable responses.

Conclusion: Prove the benefits and hurts of utilizing hydroxychloroquine or chloroquine to treat COVID-19 is exceptionally frail and clashing. Be that as it may, clinical utilization ought to either follow the Observed Crisis Utilize of Unregistered Intercessions (MEURI) system or be morally affirmed as a trial as expressed by the World Wellbeing Organization. Security information and information from high-quality clinical trials are direly required.

Keywords: hydroxychloroquine, severe acute respiratory syndrome coronavirus 2, COVID-19, MEURI.

INTRODUCTION:

The first case of the severe acute respiratory syndrome Coronavirus 2 (SARS-CoV-2, formerly known as 2019nCoV) was distinguished in Wuhan, which COVID-19 has globally affected about ten million people worldwide ^[11]. Severe Acute Respiratory Syndrome (SARS) (2003), influenza virus with the H1N1 subtype (2009), Middle-East Respiratory Syndrome (MERS) (2012), and Ebola virus (2014) were observed in the past twenty years which was the chief cause of the global predicaments among people ^[2]. Although COVID-19 is one of the potential viruses of coronaviruses, and this virus is akin to more of the illnesses such as SARS and MERS, infection symptoms include fever, chills, cough, sore throat, myalgia, nausea and vomiting, and diarrhea ^[3]. It worth mentioning that infecting with such a virus is dangerous for individuals with a history of underlying diseases and would experience worse outcomes ^[4]. Tough disease cases manage to heart, respiratory failure, acute respiratory syndrome, or even death ^[5]. Despite the similarity of COVID-19 with the previous ones, severe acute respiratory syndrome (SARS; 2002-2003) and the

Middle-East respiratory syndrome (MERS; 2012ongoing), but there are differences ^[6]. The SARS-CoV emerged from the bats and was transmitted to humans through the intermediate host of palm civet cats, while A MERS-CoV ancestral virus was isolated from dromedary camels^[7, 8]. The common symptoms among 3 viral infections were fever, cough, and subsequent respiratory failure, leading to a poor disease outcome in patients with a history of cardiovascular disease, respiratory problems, cancer, diabetes, and infection^[9]. Furthermore, age and sex are the other significant factors in these infections since it has been found that infecting more males than females refers to an immunological advantage for females and the role of the X chromosome in the innate and adaptive immune response ^[4]. A complete blood test assesses C-reactive protein and lactate dehydrogenase levels to diagnose infection in individuals and recommended a chest computed tomography (CT) scan for further examination ^[10]. Finally, reverse-transcriptase-polymerase-chain-reaction (RT-PCR) assay is used to confirm the infection ^[11]. Based on the World Health Organization (WHO) information at the time of the COVID-19 With SARS and MERS, overall, 8096 cases with 774 deaths were reported after the epidemic. The case fatality ratio (CFR) was 9.6%. The MERS outbreak involved 27 countries, with 2494 confirmed cases and 866 associated deaths (case-fatality rate: 34.4%). While SARS and MERS mortality rates were higher than COVID-19 but COVID-19 transmitted more rapidly than SARS and MERS^[12]. After the last report was released in worldwide (April 2, 2020), the number of death was 47264 among 936865 confirmed cases, the overall number of COVID-19 cases, including those unrecognized due to mild and asymptomatic symptoms or lack of the still-insufficient capacity for testing, would be much higher than obvious ^[6]. The transmission of MERS-CoV and SARS-CoV by healthcare setting has been mentioned as the secondary cases ^[13]. Similarly, almost individuals diagnosed with COVID-19 were related to healthcare.

Hydroxychloroquine (HCQ) plays a weak base, with its non-protonated component crossing the cell and transforming into protonated form. It is located in acidic organelles (endosome, lysosome, and Golgi vesicles) and interferes with the entry of viruses associated with pH, thus inhibiting their entire replication cycle. The increased pH induced by chloroquine also disrupts proteases and glycosyl-transferases' function in the posttranslational processing of envelope glycoproteins in the Golgi ^[14]. To initiate the process of entry into a target cell, conjugate the coronavirus surface protein spike (S) to angiotensin-converting enzyme 2 (ACE2) on the cell membrane and the host cell protease (activated during acidification of the endosome) trigger the S-protein cleavage that leads to viral infectivity. As previously

g 3 enzyme 2 (ACE2), the SARS receptor, is likely to be a covid-19 receptor and be used in cell entry ^[16]. This study examines and systematically reviews and analyzes the literature and their reported results related to the impacts of a critical drug (chloroquine) with treatment COVID-19 cases. ing cal **Null hypothesis**: me There is no consensus on the effectiveness of hydroxychloroquine on Covid-19 patients.

METHODOLOGY:

Search strategy and selection criteria:

This study used a simple systematic review protocol following the guidelines of the Preferred Reporting Items for Systematic Reviews and Meta-Analyses (PRISMA) statement (Fig. 1).

mentioned, chloroquine has shown therapeutic activity

against SARS in cell culture through acidification

inhibition, and viral replication stalled ^[15]. Because of the similarities between the external covid-19 receptor

subdomain and SARS, the angiotensin-converting



Figure 1: Looking at databases found flow diagram of the literature review process: A adds up to 824 articles. After evacuating duplication, 642 articles were screened by title and unique. At that point, 74 articles were surveyed for full-text, and 14 articles were at long last included.

We used a systematic literature search in diverse databases as follow: PubMed, Scopus, Scholar, Embase, medRxiv, and bioRxiv in January 2021 using the "SARS-CoV-2". "COVID-19". following terms: "coronavirus", "chloroquine", and "population", Desktop 1.17.11 software (London, UK) to remove duplicates. The title and abstract of all manuscripts were checked by two independent reviews (M.CH and R.K) and research reports which reported the prevalence of anti-SARS-CoV-2 serum antibodies in the 'general population' (i.e., randomly-selected people of different ages, occupations, educational and ethnic backgrounds, socioeconomic status, living in a defined geographical region, whose prior COVID-19 status was unknown). Articles were prohibited in case they (1) included suspected, affirmed, or hospitalized COVID-19 patients; (2) were performed in the at-risk populace (e.g., health-care laborers) or people with known infections (e.g., cancer or dialysis patients); (3) recorded predominance based on clinical sign, computed tomography filter or PCR; (4) were comparative considers of demonstrative strategies; (5) utilized information sets that covered with those of other articles; (6) were case reports or case considers; or (7) were publications, commentaries, surveys or efficient audits.

Data Extraction:

After the screening of published articles, the relevant data from eligible studies were extracted by three independent reviews, and in order to agree and disagreement had been used the fourth review. The different information regarding the type of article, study type, patient demographics (age, gender, exposure, etc.), symptoms, chest imaging, clinical management (treatment, respiratory support), and clinical outcomes was uncovered in an excel sheet template (version 2016; Microsoft Corporation, Redmond, USA).

Data Analysis:

The frequencies and extents of patient's characteristics were surveyed. Logit and twofold arcsine change strategies were utilized in comparative meta-analysis. The pooled predominance of statistic variables, clinical characteristics, and results were calculated with 95% certainty interims, and woodland plots were produced utilizing R factual program adaptation 3.6.3. A randomeffects demonstration was utilized, which may be a more traditionalist approach, considering the changeability of epidemiological and clinical characteristics. As it considered with the fair chance of bias, and grown-up populaces were included within the meta-analysis.

LITERATURE REVIEW:

Some surveys have been conducted in this field throughout the world. Rahimi et al.[17] 1 assessed the effect of hydroxychloroquine on COVID-19 prevention in cancer patients. Their findings showed thehigh efficacy of hydroxychloroquine as a drug to reduce the progress and clinical signs of the COVID-19. Self et al.^[18] carried out a randomized clinical trial that included 479 hospitalized adults with respiratory symptoms of COVID-19. They found that the distribution of the day 14 clinical status score (measured using a 7-category ordinal scale) was not significantly different for patients randomized to receive hydroxychloroquine compared with placebo (adjusted odds ratio, 1.02). In another survey, Reis et al.^[19] carried out a research on 685 patients and showed that the rates of COVID-19associated hospitalization in patients treated with hydroxychloroquine were not significantly different compared with those who received placebo. Rentsch et al.^[20] reported that of 194 637 people with rheumatoid arthritis or systemic lupus erythematosus, 30 569 (15.7%) received two or more prescriptions of hydroxychloroquine. They showed that there were 547 COVID-19 deaths, 70 among hydroxychloroquine users. Estimated standardised cumulative COVID-19 mortality was 0.23%(95% CI 0.18 to 0.29) among hydroxychloroquine users and 0.22% (0.20 to 0.25) among hydroxychloroquine non-users. They found no evidence of a difference in COVID-19 mortality among people who received hydroxychloroquine for treatment of rheumatological disease before the COVID-19 outbreak in England. In another research^[21], death within 28 days occurred in 421 patients (27.0%) in the hydroxychloroquine group and in 790 (25.0%) in the usual-care group (rate ratio, 1.09; 95% confidence interval [CI], 0.97 to 1.23; P=0.15). The results suggest that patients in the hydroxychloroquine group were less likely to be discharged from the hospital alive within 28 days than those in the usual-care group (59.6% vs. 62.9%; rate ratio, 0.90; 95% CI, 0.83 to 0.98).

RESULTS:

Generally, 824 articles were recognized by mining information base and manual looking, and after evacuating comparable of articles, almost 642 articles were cleared out. Within the screen title and theoretical organize, 223 full-text articles were chosen for further evaluation, and within the following stage, 74 articles were avoided concurring the choice criteria. At long last, arrange of the meta-analysis survey article accounted for 14 articles ^[22-35] (Figure 1). Among the distributed clinical considers, Gauret et al. ^[30, 36] and Chen *et al.* ^[37] have illustrated exceptionally great virological and clinical results with HCQ treatment alone or combined with azithromycin. Million *et al.* ^[30] have moreover illustrated great virological and clinical results with HCQ treatment. Molina et al. have appeared negative comes about with HCQ treatment ^[31]. Among the non-peer-reviewed ponders included from preprint servers, Chen et al. have illustrated great virological and clinical results with HCQ treatment. The comes about of Magagnoli *et al.* ^[27], Mahévas *et al.* ^[28], Tang *et al.* ^[34],

and Ramireddy *et al.* ^[38] were negative or dubious. Moreover, Geleris *et al.* ^[25] detailed no critical impact of HCQ on intubation or passing in COVID-19 patients. Within the ponders of Gauret *et al.* ^[30, 36], Chen *et al.* ^[37], and Million *et al.* ^[25], HCQ was found to be secure with gentle antagonistic responses, such as sickness, spewing, and transitory unusual liver capacities. Molina *et al.* ^[31] and Mercuro *et al.* ^[29] have detailed QT prolongation in the electrocardiogram (ECG) related to HCQ treatment (Table 1).

Author, Year	Stud y desig n	Country	Mea n Age	Patient N	Case grou p	Contro 1 group	HCQ dose/day X Days	Primary outcome	Secondary outcome	Primary Improveme nt outcome	Secondary Improveme nt outcome
Tang et al, 2020	RCT	China	46	150	75	75	1200 mg/d X 3D, followed by 800 mg/d X 2 wks (I) or 3 wks (II)	Viral load by RTPCR +vsat day 28	Clinical symptoms, normalization of laboratory parameters and chest radiology	NO	NO
Chen rt al, 2020	RCT	China	44.7	62	31	31	400 mg/d X 5D	Time to clinical recovery and improvement of pneumonia in chest CT	NR	YES	NR
Barbosa et al, 2020	qRC T	USA	62.7	63	32	31	800 mg/d X 1-2D followed by 200 - 400 mg OD X 3- 4D	Need to escalate respiratory support and rate of intubation at day 5	Change in lymphocyte count, NLR, and mortality	NO	NO
Magagnoli et al, 2020	RET	USA	68	368	210	158	NR	death from any cause	on MV	NO	NO
Jun et al, 2020	RCT	China	NR	30	15	15	400 mg/d X 5D	Viral load by RTPCR +vsat day 7	NR	NO	NR
Mahevas et al, 2020	RET	France	60	181	84	97	600 mg/d X 7D	ICU transfer or death	All-cause	NO	NO

$ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$									from any cause at	mortality at day 7,		
$ \begin{array}{ c c c c c } \hline \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ $									day 7	Occurrence of		
Image: constraint of the series of the se										ARDS within 7 D		
Gautret et al, 2020PROFrance52.1808080 0												
Gautret et al, 2020PROFrance52.18080 0 $(-AZ 500 mg on dx 1D)$ $(-AZ 4D) + (-AZ 500 mg on dx 4D) + (-AZ 500 mg on $								600 mg/d X 10D				
Gautret et al, 2020PROFrance52.180800day 1 and 250 mg/d X 4Dor ICU admission mg/d X 4Dof hospital staysYESYESGeleris et al, 2020PROUSANR137613760 $\begin{array}{c} 600 mg/d X 1D, \\ 400 mg/d X 5D \\ 1D + 250 mg/2 - 5 \end{array}$ NRNRNRNONORosenberg et al, 2020PRTUSA6314381430 $\begin{array}{c} 400 mg/d X 10D \\ 1D + 250 mg/2 - 5 \\ D \end{array}$ cardiac arrest and abnormal electrocardiogram findingsNONOMercuro et al, 2020RETUSA60.1905337 $\begin{array}{c} 400 mg/d N no mg/d N no mortality no mortal deter no mortal$							0	+ AZ 500 mg on	Need for O2 therapy	Viral load, length		
Image: constraint of the section o	Gautret et al, 2020 Geleris et al, 2020 Rosenberg et al, 2020 Mercuro et al, 2020 Saleh et al, 2020	PRO	France	52.1	80	80		day 1 and 250	or ICU admission	of hospital stays	YES	YES
$ \begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$								mg/d X 4D				
$\frac{1}{1} \frac{1}{1} \frac{1}$	Coloria et al. 2020	DDO	LIC A	ND	1276	1276	0	600 mg / d X 1D,	ND	ND	NO	NO
$ \begin{array}{c} \begin{tabular}{ c c c c c c } \hline Rescale rest and \\ 2020 \end{array} & \begin{tabular}{ c c c c } Rescale rest and \\ 2020 \end{array} & \begin{tabular}{ c c c c c } Rescale rest and \\ 2020 \end{array} & \begin{tabular}{ c c c c } Rescale rest and \\ 10 + 250 mg 2-5 \end{array} & \begin{tabular}{ c c } hard rest and \\ 10 + 250 mg 2-5 \end{array} & \begin{tabular}{ c c } hard rest and \\ 10 + 250 mg 2-5 \end{array} & \begin{tabular}{ c c } hard rest and \\ 10 + 250 mg 2-5 \end{array} & \begin{tabular}{ c } hard rest and \\ rest and rest and rest and rest and rest and \\ rest and $	Gelens et al, 2020	PRO	USA	INK	1370	1570	0	400 mg /d X 5D	INK	INK	NO	NO
$ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$								400 mg/d X 10D		cardiac arrest and		
$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	Rosenberg et al,	DET	USA	63	1438	143	0	+ AZ 500 mg/X		abnormal	NO	NO
Image: Marking barsed	2020	KEI						1D + 250 mg 2- 5	mortality	electrocardiogram	NO	NO
Mercuro et al, 2020 RET USA 60.1 90 53 37 400 mg of hydroxychloroqui ne 2X 1D, 400 mg / 1X 2D 90 F3 37 400 mg / 1X 2D 90 F3 90 53 37 400 mg / 1X 2D 90 F3 90								D		findings		
Mercuro et al, 2020 RET USA 60.1 90 53 7 400 mg of hydroxychloroqui ne 2X 1D, 400 mg/ 1X 2D in QT interval after receiving hydroxychloroqui in QT interval after with or without azithromycin; occurrence of other potential adverse	Gautret et al, 2020 Geleris et al, 2020 Rosenberg et al, 2020 Mercuro et al, 2020								pneumonia, Change			
Mercuro et al, 2020 RET USA 60.1 90 53 73 A 400 mg of hydroxychloroquin mg/1X 2D mg/1X 2D NR NO NO NO									in QT interval after			
Mercuro et al, 2020 RET USA 60.1 90 53 37 400 mg of Mercuro et al, 2020 RET USA 60.1 90 53 37 400 mg of mg/1X 2D hydroxychloroqui mg/1X 2D hydroxychloroqui mg/1X 2D hydroxychloroqui potential adverse hydroxychloroqui mg/1X 2D hydroxychloroqui mg/1X 10 hydroxychloroqui mg/1X 10 hydro								100 6	receiving			
Mercuro et al, 2020 RET USA 60.1 90 53 37 hydroxychloroqui ne 2X 1D, 400 mg/ 1X 2D with or without NR NO NO NO								400 mg of	hydroxychloroquine			
ne 2X ID, 400 mg/ 1X 2D azithromycin; occurrence of other potential adverse		RET	USA	60.1	90	53	37	hydroxychloroqui	with or without	NR	NO	NO
mg/ 1X 2D occurrence of other potential adverse								ne 2X 1D, 400	azithromycin;			
potential adverse								mg/ 1X 2D	occurrence of other			
									potential adverse			
drug events.									drug events.			
500 mg /2X 1D QT prolongation,								500 mg /2X 1D	07	QT prolongation,		
followed by 500 CT prolongation the need to							c	followed by 500	QT prolongation	the need to		
Salen et al, 2020 PRO USA 58.5 201 201 0 resulting in Torsade prematurely NR NR	Saleh et al, 2020	PRO) USA	58.5	201	201	0	mg /1X 4D,	resulting in Torsade	prematurely	NR	NK
hydroxychloroqui de pointes. discontinue any of								hydroxychloroqui	de pointes.	discontinue any of		

							ne 400 mg /2X		the medications		
							1D followed by		due to QT		
							200 mg /2X 4D,		prolongation, and		
							and azithromycin		arrhythmogenic		
							500 mg/ X 5D		death		
Yu et al, 2020	PRO	China	NR	568	568	0	200 mg/d X 7- 10D	mortality	NR	NO	NO

Mild/moderate Cases: I; Sever Cases: II; NR: Not Report; RCT: Randomized clinical trial; RET: Retrospective study; PRO: Prospective-observational study.

HCQ treatment was related to genuine antagonistic responses, such as passing, QT prolongation, first-degree atrioventricular square, diarrhea, and obscured vision within the non-peer-reviewed ponders included from preprint servers ^[27, 28, 34, 38] (Figure 2).

Study name			udy			Events					Log odds ratio and 95% CI				
	Log odds ratio	Standard error	Variance	Lower limit	Upper limit	Z-Value	p-Value	Cases	Controls	Total					
Fang et al.	-0.107	0.327	0.107	-0.748	0.534	-0.327	0.744	38	40	78	- 1 -	_		+	- 1
Chen et al.	1.233	0.580	0.337	0.095	2.371	2.124	0.034	25	17	42					
Barbosa et al.	0.981	1.271	1.617	-1.511	3.473	0.771	0.440	2	1	3	<	_			
Aagagnoli et al.	-0.154	0.363	0.132	-0.866	0.558	-0.424	0.671	27	18	45		_			
Jun et al.	-0.767	1.284	1.648	-3.284	1.749	-0.598	0.550	13	14	27	~ •	_			\rightarrow
lahevas et al.	-0.150	0.779	0.606	-1.676	1.377	-0.192	0.848	3	4	7	< <u> </u>	_			\rightarrow
larbosa Espe et al.	-1.050	0.464	0.216	-1.960	-0.140	-2.262	0.024	8	12	20	<	_	_		
Gautret et al.	2.793	0.900	0.810	1.030	4.557	3.104	0.002	14	2	16	1.000				>
Geleris et al.	0.450	0.153	0.023	0.151	0.749	2.950	0.003	157	75	232					•
osenberg et al.	1.672	0.159	0.025	1.362	1.983	10.549	0.000	295	53	348					>
lercuro et al.	0.116	0.539	0.291	-0.942	1.173	0.214	0.830	11	7	18		_			
ialeh et al.	0.985	0.498	0.248	0.008	1.962	1.976	0.048	11	7	18					
lahévas et al.	-0.258	0.366	0.134	-0.976	0.460	-0.704	0.481	17	22	39					
'u et al.	-1.273	0.380	0.145	-2.019	-0.528	-3.350	0.001	9	235	244	←	_ _	-		
											-1.00	-0.50	0.00	0.50	1.0
												НСО		Control	

Figure 2: Risk of an outbreak among COVID-19 patients uncovered to HCQ compared to non-HCQ (standard care).

DISCUSSION:

COVID 19 is the most dangerous among illnesses in 2020 that s causing significant disruption to health systems $^{[39, 40]}$. Our review explored the general population's mental health status and its predictive factors amid the COVID-19 pandemic. Generally, there is a higher prevalence of symptoms of adverse psychiatric outcomes among the public when compared to the prevalence before the pandemic. Additionally, In this research, we evaluated an important drug (chloroquine) used to treat patients. The ponders of Chen *et al.* ^[37] and Gautret *et al.* ^[36] were underpowered. Chen *et al.* ^[37] included patients with mellow indications as it were, and they were concomitantly treated with other antivirals.

To begin with, Gautret et al. ^[31] did not randomize the patients or incorporate drop-outs within the last examination. There were heterogeneities in terms of the viral stack between the two bunches at standard, and the agents veered off from the enrolled convention in terms of the result measures. Clinical outcomes, even though enormously imperative, were not detailed. Within the moment ponder, Gautret et al. [30] not one or the other included a control arm nor said the qualification criteria. Moreover, within Geleris et al. ^[25], the HCQ-treated patients were more severely ill at the pattern. Within the ponder of Chen *et al.*^[37], there was a slight improvement in body temperature and hack with a better measurement of HCQ. In any case, the endpoints indicated within the distributed convention varied from those detailed, the comes about the low-dose HCQ bunch were not detailed, and the trial was rashly ended ^[41]. The most significant observational consider of Million et al., with a test estimate of 1061 patients, did not have a control arm ^[30]. Assist, no clinically significant medium- or long-term follow-up information are detailed in any of these considers ^[25, 38]. Another major factor to be considered is that exceptionally few ponders have centered on the security angle of HCQ within the treatment of COVID-19.

Hydroxychloroquine and chloroquine have picked up a part of steam within the restorative field and media for potential viability against COVID-19. their Hydroxychloroquine has immunomodulatory properties and was initially created as an antimalarial medicate with encouraging applications in patients with rheumatoid joint pain and systemic lupus erythematosus ^[42]. In vitro thinks that hydroxychloroquine has also appeared antiviral properties; it is as far as anyone knows anticipates COVID-19 related ARDS ^[43, 44]. The treatment of COVID-19 positive patients with hydroxychloroquine has been met with discussion, as there have been no expansive multicenter randomized control trials to bolster its utilize. Up to this point, there is a need for measurably critical diminishment in horribleness or mortality in COVID-19 patients who have experienced hydroxychloroquine trials. The side impacts of 4-Aminoquinolones are known to be dosedependent expanded dangers for retinopathy. methemoglobinemia, and gastrointestinal, renal, and poisonous cardiac quality ^[44]. Borba et al. consider that guys matured 50 with extreme COVID indications and heart infection are at a tall chance of creating hydroxychloroquine-related cardiac complications such

prolongation at higher as OT dosages of hydroxychloroquine^[45]. The considers by Tang *et al.*^[46] and Chen et al. [37] appeared more prominent hydroxychloroquine -related GI side impacts as well. In a post-marketing ponder by the Nourishment and Sedate Organization (FDA), it was too appeared that the utilize of 4-Aminoquinolones expanded rates of cardiac arrhythmias, ventricular tachycardia, fibrillation, and torsades de pointes. Their examination moreover famous unfavorable cardiac occasions combined with the utilization of other QT-prolonging solutions ^[47, 48]. this meta-analysis underpins that Additionally, hydroxychloroquine-treated patients are more likely to have unfavorable side impacts. Moreover, it shows that treatment with hydroxychloroquine features a casualty rate of around 2.5 higher than with the control group. The non-randomized ponder performed by Gautret et al. within the South of France, including an add-up to 36 youthful patients with positive PCR test, comes about and milder COVID-19 infection with no progressed comorbid therapeutic conditions. A 50% lessening in the viral stack was famous at one week with a moo dosage of hydroxychloroquine ^[36]. This consider was not fueled to identify mortality results. Many researchers [37, 49, 50] considered females with a middle-age of 45 and mellow COVID-19 related upper respiratory/pneumonia indications without co-existing co-morbid therapeutic malady. Patients were expressed to have moved forward time to a clinical determination within the hydroxychloroquine treatment arm ^[3, 37, 50, 51]. These appear to be in line with the meta-analysis' of a slight illness change in COVID-19 patients treated with hydroxychloroquine than the controls. Simultaneously, the rate of patients treated with chloroquine drugs was the lowest percentage of mortality rates. Moreover, later considers appear a sex dissimilarity, in that females appear superior results compared to comparative male cohorts^[51]. This sexual orientation difference is seen in a later ponder that famous male patients with progressed age, or different comorbid therapeutic conditions are at higher mortality rates ^[3, 51]. The ponders in this metaanalysis did not incorporate these high-risk patients with essential complex co-morbid therapeutic conditions, severe cases of COVID-19, ARDS, or primary care persistent populaces ^[52]. However, infections may causes several complication and threats on human health ^[53-60]. but several treatment options have been developed against them.

CONCLUSION:

There is am inadequate clashing evidence on the benefits and disadvantages of utilizing hydroxychloroquine to treat COVID-19. There is an adequate pre-clinical method of reasoning and proof concerning the adequacy of chloroquine for treatment of COVID-19 and proof of

security from long-time use in clinical hone for other signs to legitimize clinical research on the subject. The current circumstances legitimize the prioritization of a moral survey of pondering propositions over others, less squeezing, and inquiring about subjects. As such, it is outlandish to decide the adjustment of benefits to hurts. There are no evaluations of hydroxychloroquine or chloroquine for prophylaxis against COVID-19. Even though the master conclusion may back the use of chloroquine, the clinical utilization of this sedate in patients with COVID-19 ought to follow the MEURI system or after moral endorsement as a trial expressed. The randomized controlled trials generally had a choice, execution, and discovery inclinations, whereas the observational considers had comparability, presentation, and result predispositions transcendently.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS:

We want to thank the Department of Emergency Medicine, Faculty of Medicine, Kermanshah University of Medical Sciences, Kermanshah, Iran

REFERENCES:

- Mirzaie A, Halaji M, Dehkordi FS, Ranjbar R, Noorbazargan H. A narrative literature review on traditional medicine options for treatment of corona virus disease 2019 (COVID-19). Compl Ther Clin Pract 2020;40:101214.
- Halaji M, Farahani A, Ranjbar R, Heiat M, Dehkordi FS. Emerging coronaviruses: first SARS, second MERS and third SARS-CoV-2: epidemiological updates of COVID-19. Infez Med 2020;28:6-17.
- Sheikhshahrokh A, Ranjbar R, Saeidi E, Dehkordi FS, Heiat M, Ghasemi-Dehkordi P, Goodarzi H. Frontier therapeutics and vaccine strategies for sars-cov-2 (COVID-19): A review. Iran J Publ Health 2020;49(1):18-29.
- 4. Ranjbar R, Mahmoodzadeh Hosseini H, Safarpoor Dehkordi F. A review on biochemical and immunological biomarkers

used for laboratory diagnosis of SARS-CoV-

- 2 (COVID-19). Open Microbiol J 2020;14(1):290-96.
- Han Yn, Feng Zw, Sun Ln, Ren Xx, Wang H, Xue Ym, et al. A comparative-descriptive analysis of clinical characteristics in 2019coronavirus-infected children and adults. J Med virol 2020;92(9):1596-602.
- Singhal T. A review of coronavirus disease-2019 (COVID-19). Ind J Pediatr 2020;87(4):281-6.
- Ye ZW, Yuan S, Yuen KS, Fung SY, Chan CP, Jin DY. Zoonotic origins of human coronaviruses. Int J Biol Sci 2020;16(10):1686.
- Wong G, Bi YH, Wang QH, Chen XW, Zhang ZG, Yao YG. Zoonotic origins of human coronavirus 2019 (HCoV-19/SARS-CoV-2): why is this work important?. Zool Res 2020;41(3):213.
- De Wit E, Van Doremalen N, Falzarano D, Munster VJ. SARS and MERS: recent insights into emerging coronaviruses. Nat Rev Microbiol 2016;14(8):523-34.
- Young BE, Ong SWX, Kalimuddin S, Low JG, Tan SY, Loh J, et al. Epidemiologic features and clinical course of patients infected with SARS-CoV-2 in Singapore. J Am Med Assoc 2020;323(15):1488-94.
- Corman V, Landt O, Kaiser M, Molenkamp R, Meijer A, Chu D. & Drosten, C.(2020). Detection of 2019 novel coronavirus (2019nCoV) by real-time RT-PCR. Eurosurv 25(3):2000045.

- Meo S, Alhowikan A, Al-Khlaiwi T, Meo I, Halepoto D, Iqbal M, et al. Novel coronavirus 2019-nCoV: prevalence, biological and clinical characteristics comparison with SARS-CoV and MERS-CoV. Eur Rev Med Pharmacol Sci 2020;24(4):2012-9.
- Chowell G, Abdirizak F, Lee S, Lee J, Jung E, Nishiura H, et al. Transmission characteristics of MERS and SARS in the healthcare setting: a comparative study. BMC Med 2015;13(1):1-12.
- Pereira BB. Challenges and cares to promote rational use of chloroquine and hydroxychloroquine in the management of coronavirus disease 2019 (COVID-19) pandemic: a timely review. J Toxicol Environ Health Part B 2020;23(4):177-81.
- Hu TY, Frieman M, Wolfram J. Insights from nanomedicine into chloroquine efficacy against COVID-19. Nat Nanotechnol 2020;15(4):247-9.
- Chen C-Y, Kim DM, Lee C, Da Silva J, Nagai S, Nojiri T, et al. Biological efficacy of perpendicular type-I collagen protruded from TiO 2-nanotubes. Int J Oral Sci 2020;12(1):1-10.
- 17. Rahimi H, Allahyari A, Azimi SA, Kamandi M, Mozaheb Z, Zemorshidi F, *et al.* Effect of hydroxychloroquine on COVID-19 prevention in cancer patients undergoing treatment: study protocol for a randomized controlled trial. Trials 2021;22(1):1-9.

- Self WH, Semler MW, Leither LM, Casey JD, Angus DC, Brower RG, *et al.* Effect of hydroxychloroquine on clinical status at 14 days in hospitalized patients with COVID-19: a randomized clinical trial. J Am Med Assoc 2020;324(21):2165-76.
- 19. Reis G, Silva EA, Silva DC, Thabane L,
 Singh G, Park JJ, *et al.* Effect of Early
 Treatment With Hydroxychloroquine or
 Lopinavir and Ritonavir on Risk of
 Hospitalization Among Patients With
 COVID the together randomized clinical
 trial. JAMA Network Open
 2021;4(4):e216468-.
- 20. Rentsch CT, DeVito NJ, MacKenna B, Morton CE, Bhaskaran K, Brown JP, et al. Effect of pre-exposure use of hydroxychloroquine on COVID-19 mortality: a population-based cohort study in patients with rheumatoid arthritis or systemic lupus erythematosus using the **OpenSAFELY** platform. Lancet Rheumatol 2021;3(1):e19-27.
- RECOVERY Collaborative Group. Effect of hydroxychloroquine in hospitalized patients with Covid-19. New Engl J Medic 2020;383(21):2030-40.
- Barbosa J, Kaitis D, Freedman R, Le K, Lin X. Clinical outcomes of hydroxychloroquine in hospitalized patients with COVID-19: a quasi-randomized comparative study. N Engl J Med 2020;1:8882.

- Chen Z, Hu J, Zhang Z, Jiang S, Han S, Yan D, et al. Efficacy of hydroxychloroquine in patients with COVID-19: results of a randomized clinical trial. Medrxiv 2020.
- 24. Gautret P, Lagier J-C, Parola P, Meddeb L, Sevestre J, Mailhe M, et al. Clinical and microbiological effect of a combination of hydroxychloroquine and azithromycin in 80 COVID-19 patients with at least a six-day follow up: a pilot observational study. Travel Med Infect Dis 2020;34:101663.
- Geleris J, Sun Y, Platt J, Zucker J, Baldwin M, Hripcsak G, et al. Observational study of hydroxychloroquine in hospitalized patients with Covid-19. New Eng J Med 2020;382(25):2411-8.
- 26. Jun C, Danping L, Li L, Ping L, Qingnian X, Lu X, et al. A pilot study of hydroxychloroquine in treatment of patients with common. Zhejiang Da Xue Xue Bao Yi Xue Ban 2020;25;49(2):215-219.
- 27. Magagnoli J, Narendran S, Pereira F, Cummings TH, Hardin JW, Sutton SS, et al. Outcomes of hydroxychloroquine usage in United States veterans hospitalized with Covid-19. Med 2020;1(1):114-27.
- 28. Mahevas M, Tran V-T, Roumier M, Chabrol A, Paule R, Guillaud C, et al. No evidence of clinical efficacy of hydroxychloroquine in patients hospitalized for COVID-19 infection with oxygen requirement: results of a study using routinely collected data to emulate a target trial. Medrxiv 2020.

- 29. Mercuro NJ, Yen CF, Shim DJ, Maher TR, McCoy CM, Zimetbaum PJ, et al. Risk of QT interval prolongation associated with use of hydroxychloroquine with or without concomitant azithromycin among hospitalized patients testing positive for coronavirus disease 2019 (COVID-19). JAMA Cardiol 2020;5(9):1036-41.
- 30. Million M, Lagier J-C, Gautret P, Colson P, Fournier P-E, Amrane S, et al. Early treatment of COVID-19 patients with hydroxychloroquine and azithromycin: A retrospective analysis of 1061 cases in Marseille, France. Travel Med Infect Dis 2020;35:101738.
- 31. Molina JM, Delaugerre C, Le Goff J, Mela-Lima B, Ponscarme D, Goldwirt L, et al. No evidence of rapid antiviral clearance or clinical benefit with the combination of hydroxychloroquine and azithromycin in patients with severe COVID-19 infection. Med Maladies Infect 2020;50(4):384.
- 32. Rosenberg ES, Dufort EM, Udo T, Wilberschied LA, Kumar J, Tesoriero J, et al. Association of treatment with hydroxychloroquine or azithromycin with in-hospital mortality in patients with COVID-19 in New York State. J Am Med Assoc 2020;323(24):2493-502.
- 33. Saleh M, Gabriels J, Chang D, Soo Kim B, Mansoor A, Mahmood E, et al. Effect of chloroquine, hydroxychloroquine, and azithromycin on the corrected QT interval in patients with SARS-CoV-2 infection.

Circulation: Arrhythmia Electrophysiol 2020;13(6):e008662.

- 34. Tang W, Cao Z, Han M, Wang Z, Chen J, Sun W, et al. Hydroxychloroquine in patients with mainly mild to moderate coronavirus disease 2019: open label, randomised controlled trial. Brit Med J 2020;369.
- 35. Yu B, Li C, Chen P, Zhou N, Wang L, Li J, et al. Hydroxychloroquine application is associated with a decreased mortality in critically ill patients with COVID-19. Medrxiv 2020.
- 36. Gautret P, Lagier J-C, Parola P, Meddeb L, Mailhe M, Doudier B, et al. Hydroxychloroquine and azithromycin as a treatment of COVID-19: results of an openlabel non-randomized clinical trial. Int J Antimicrob Agents 2020;56(1):105949.
- 37. Chen J, Liu D, Liu L, Liu P, Xu Q, Xia L, et al. A pilot study of hydroxychloroquine in treatment of patients with moderate COVID-19. J Zhejiang Univ 2020;49(2):215-9.
- 38. Ramireddy A, Chugh H, Reinier K, Ebinger J, Park E, Thompson M, et al. Experience with hydroxychloroquine and azithromycin in the coronavirus disease 2019 pandemic: implications for QT interval monitoring. J Am Heart Assoc 2020;9(12):e017144.
- WHO. Draft landscape of COVID-19 candidate vaccines. World Health Organisation. 2020.
- 40. Russell CD, Millar JE, Baillie JK. Clinical evidence does not support corticosteroid

treatment for 2019-nCoV lung injury. Lancet 2020;395(10223):473-5.

- 41. Barbosa J, Kaitis D, Freedman R, Le K, Lin X. Clinical outcomes of hydroxychloroquine in hospitalized patients. Brit Med J 2020.
- 42. Schrezenmeier E, Dörner T. Mechanisms of action of hydroxychloroquine and chloroquine: implications for rheumatology. Nat Rev Rheumatol 2020;16(3):155-66.
- 43. Keyaerts E, Vijgen L, Maes P, Neyts J, Van Ranst M. In vitro inhibition of severe acute respiratory syndrome coronavirus by chloroquine. Biochem Biophysic Res Commun 2004;323(1):264-8.
- 44. FDA/CDER. Plaquenil® Hydroxychloroquine Sulfate Tablets, Usp Description. FDA White Oak, MD; 2017.
- 45. Borba MGS, Val FFA, Sampaio VS, Alexandre MAA, Melo GC, Brito M, et al. Effect of high vs low doses of chloroquine diphosphate as adjunctive therapy for patients hospitalized with severe acute respiratory syndrome coronavirus 2 (SARS-CoV-2) infection: a randomized clinical trial. JAMA Network Open 2020;3(4):e208857-e.
- 46. Colson P, Rolain J-M, Raoult D. Chloroquine for the 2019 novel coronavirus SARS-CoV-2. Int J Antimicrob Agents 2020;55(3):105923.2020.
- 47. Safran MA, Mays Jr RA, Huang LN, McCuan R, Pham PK, Fisher SK, et al. Mental health disparities. Am J Publ Health 2009;99(11):1962-6.

- on Smoking O, Control CfD, Prevention. How tobacco smoke causes disease: The biology and behavioral basis for smokingattributable disease: A report of the surgeon general. 2010.
- Zhang L, Zhang JJ, Kubiak RJ, Yang H. Statistical methods and tool for cut point analysis in immunogenicity assays. J Immunol Methods 2013;389(1-2):79-87.
- Huang M, Tang T, Pang P, Li M, Ma R, Lu
 J, et al. Treating COVID-19 with chloroquine. J Mol Cell Biol 2020;12(4):322-5.
- 51. Chakravarty D, Nair SS, Hammouda N, Ratnani P, Gharib Y, Wagaskar V, et al. Sex differences in SARS-CoV-2 infection rates and the potential link to prostate cancer. Commun Biol 2020;3(1):1-12.
- 52. Gondouin B. COVID-19. Néphrol Thérap 2020;16(7):7S2.
- 53. Dehkordi FS, Saberian S, Momtaz H. Detection and segregation of Brucella abortus and Brucella melitensis in aborted bovine, ovine, caprine, buffaloes and camelid fetuses by application of conventional and real-time polymerase chain reaction. The Thai Journal of Veterinary Medicine. 2012;42(1):13.
- 54. Dehkordi FS, Momtaz H, Doosti A. Application of Real-Time PCR for detection of Aspergillus species in aborted ruminant foetuses. Bulgarian Journal of Veterinary Medicine. 2012;15(1):30-6.

- 55. Dehkordi FS. Prevalence study of Coxiella burnetii in aborted ovine and caprine fetuses by evaluation of nested and real-time PCR assays. American Journal of Animal and Veterinary Sciences. 2011;6(4):180-6.
- 56. Dehkordi FS, Tirgir F, Valizadeh Y. Effects of Guajol® ointment synthesized from medicinal smoke condensate of jennet feces on burn wound healing on Wistar rat. Veterinary Research Forum. 2017; 8(3):215.
- 57. Dehkordi FS, Tavakoli-Far B, Jafariaskari S, Momtaz H, Esmaeilzadeh S, Ranjbar R, Rabiei M. Uropathogenic Escherichia coli in the high vaginal swab samples of fertile and infertile women: virulence factors, Oserogroups, and phenotyping and genotyping characterization of antibiotic Microbes resistance. New and New Infections. 2020;38:100824.
- 58. Dehkordi FS, Haghighi N, Momtaz H, Rafsanjani MS, Momeni M. Conventional vs real-time PCR for detection of bovine herpes virus type 1 in aborted bovine, buffalo and camel foetuses. Bulgarian Journal of Veterinary Medicine. 2013;16(2):102-12.
- 59. Dehkordi FS, Yazdani F, Mozafari J, Valizadeh Y. Virulence factors, serogroups and antimicrobial resistance properties of Escherichia coli strains in fermented dairy products. BMC Research Notes. 2014;7(1):1-8.
- **60.** Dehkordi FS, Barati S, Momtaz H, Ahari SN, Dehkordi SN. Comparison of shedding,

and antibiotic resistance properties of Listeria monocytogenes isolated from milk, feces, urine, and vaginal secretion of bovine, ovine, caprine, buffalo, and camel species in Iran. Jundishapur Journal of Microbiology. 2013;6(3):