

Creating dynamic active learning environments by integrating artificial intelligence and virtual reality

Corresponding Author:

Maryam Sadat Dehghan

Department of computer Engineering, Faculty of Engineering, yazd Branch, Islamic Azad University, yazd , Iran

Revised: 20-November-2025, **Accepted:** 12-December-2025, **Online First:** 12-December-2025

ABSTRACT:

This research introduces a theoretical framework for AI and VR integration to create active, dynamic learning spaces, addressing key questions about their combined efficacy in education and their flexibility in diverse learning contexts. The investigation considers how the adaptive personalization capabilities of AI can be combined with the immersive qualities of VR to create learner-oriented spaces, aligning with constructivist philosophies that value experience-based learning. From an integrative theoretical approach, the study integrates results from cognitive science, educational technology, and human-computer interaction with qualitative content analysis, systems thinking, and deductive logic to develop a conceptual framework that emphasizes adaptability and interactivity. The framework suggests data analytics by AI can augment VR's potential to build responsive learning environments. At its core is the ability of the model to bridge gaps between individual and collective learning paradigms, with considerations for ethical aspects such as inclusivity and equitable access. The model also goes against conventional static models of learning that give precedence to adaptive environments in response to changing needs of learners. Nevertheless, it recognizes conceptual boundaries, such as assumption of ease of technology integration and versatility across diverse contexts. The interdisciplinarity of this model has implications in computer science and psychology with implications for new interface design and cognitive science research. This model can be built upon in the future with the incorporation of other theoretical models, i.e., sociocultural theories, and empirical analysis to test its validity of pedagogical appropriateness. This article sets the stage for reconsidering active learning, calling scholars to action on solving ethical and practical problems in technology-supported learning environments.

Keywords: *AI-VR Integration, Dynamic Learning Environments, Active Learning, Constructivist Theory, Adaptive Personalization, Immersive Education, Educational Technology.*

INTRODUCTION:

The integration of virtual reality (VR) and artificial intelligence (AI) in the design of active learning spaces that are dynamic has been a revolutionary phenomenon in the educational technology sector, fundamentally transforming how knowledge is obtained and utilized within interactive environments. This cross-disciplinary architecture synergizes AI's ability to support adaptive, data-based individualization with VR's ability to provide realistic interactive scenarios and therefore experiential learning consistent with constructivist educational theories that focus on active construction of knowledge by experiential engagement (Piaget, 1970).[1]. Educational paradigms have evolved over time from memorization to more learner-centered models, with technology being central to the development of computer-based learning since the 1960s. The importance of AI and virtual reality incorporation is that it has the potential to solve chronic education problems such as learner

motivation and learning experiences through the design of adaptive environments that change in real-time based on the individual needs of the learner (Dede, 2010). Within the wider field of educational technology, this synthesis poses essential questions of accessibility, ethical considerations of AI-powered personalization, and the trade-off between technological interaction and human communication.[2]. Philosophically, it invites consideration of the extent to which such environments enhance or undermine genuine learning experiences, echoing Heidegger's (1977) fears regarding the effects of technology on human nature. The practical ramifications are significant, offering scalable solutions for varied learning environments while provoking teaching professionals to reconsider conventional pedagogical methods.[3]

Artificial intelligence (AI) refers to the development of computer systems that excel at performing tasks traditionally requiring human intellectual capacities, such

as learning, reasoning, problem-solving, and perception. Its significance is highlighted by its potential to transform numerous industries, ranging from healthcare, education, and finance to transportation, enhancing efficiency and enabling innovative solutions for complex problems [4]. Artificial Intelligence (AI) encapsulates fundamental aspects including machine learning, natural language processing, and robotics, each playing a pivotal role in its capacity to replicate or enhance human competencies. Scholarly discourse underscores the progression of AI from primitive symbolic frameworks to contemporary data-centric methodologies, with philosophical discussions focusing on its ramifications for consciousness and ethical considerations.[5]. For example, Turing (1950) put forward the original question of whether machines can think, initiating debates on the boundaries of machine intelligence. Critics such as Searle (1980) contend that AI does not have real understanding, as demonstrated in his Chinese Room thought experiment, challenging the idea of intentionality in machines [6]. Recent studies call attention to AI's ethical concerns, including bias and accountability (Bostrom & Yudkowsky, 2014). These perspectives point out ongoing tensions between AI's technical advancement and philosophical significance, lines of which define the field's evolution as a whole [7].

Virtual reality (VR) represents an immersive, computer-generated environment that simulates a physical presence in both real and imagined settings, allowing users to interact with digital spaces through sensory modalities, primarily visual and auditory (Steuer, 1992). Its significance lies in its potential to drive transformative advancements across diverse fields, including education, healthcare, and entertainment, by enabling experiential learning, therapeutic interventions, and novel forms of user engagement [6]. The defining features of virtual reality (VR) are immersion, interactivity, and presence, which together create the feeling of being placed in a simulated world (Sherman & Craig, 2003). Early theoretical explorations focused on VR's potential to transform human-computer interaction through a focus on sensory engagement over conventional interfaces (Biocca, 1992). Research by Slater and Wilbur (1997) contends that presence—the personal experience of "being there" is essential for VR effectiveness. However, criticisms have raised several challenges, including motion sickness and concerns around accessibility (Kennedy et al., 1993).[7] Recent scholarship has concentrated on social virtual reality (VR), examining its prospects for augmenting collaboration and communication in virtual spaces (Bailenson, 2018). Conversely, critics such as Heim (1998) warn against idealizing VR, highlighting ethical issues like psychological addiction and risks to privacy. These discussions highlight VR's profound impact on human

experiences and social interaction.[8]

The integration of artificial intelligence (AI) and virtual reality (VR) in interactive active learning spaces has garnered substantial academic attention. Yet, there persists a notable theoretical gap in the literature regarding their joint pedagogical efficacy and scalability to diverse learning environments.[9]. Whilst studies, for example, Radianti et al. (2020), stress the immersive potential of virtual reality (VR) to enhance student engagement, and Chen et al. (2021) point to artificial intelligence's (AI) ability to personalize learning experiences, the combination of these technologies is still underexplored, especially regarding integrated theoretical frameworks addressing both cognitive and socio-cultural aspects of learning. Importantly, the literature fails to sufficiently consider how AI-supported adaptability in VR environments impacts the equilibrium between learner autonomy and dependence, a pivotal concern within the framework of constructivist learning theories (Vygotsky, 1978).[10] Additionally, there is a notable lack of in-depth examinations of the ethical considerations involved in the integration of artificial intelligence (AI) and virtual reality (VR), including issues of data privacy and equal access, as highlighted by Southgate et al. (2019). This shortage is also combined with a lack of longitudinal investigations into prolonged learner outcomes, leading to unabated uncertainties regarding the long-term effectiveness of these technologies[11]. The continued debate on the ability of AI-VR systems to consistently augment active learning across a range of disciplines, from STEM to the humanities, underscores the necessity for an integrated theoretical framework. Additional research is necessary to correct these disparities, ensuring that AI-VR learning environments are technologically advanced and pedagogically sound and inclusive.[1]

The integration of artificial intelligence (AI) and virtual reality (VR) has immense scope in creating dynamic, active learning spaces that ensure heightened engagement, personalization, and knowledge retention. The current research aims to explore the theoretical underpinnings of leveraging AI's adaptive nature and VR's immersive qualities in enabling interactive learning experiences. It explores key issues on how both technologies can complement each other towards advancing learning outcomes, suit the needs of diverse learners, and reshape traditional pedagogical frameworks.[12]. The study is divided into four main sections: the first section analyzes the current literature to create a theoretical basis for the integration of artificial intelligence (AI) and virtual reality (VR); the next section offers a theoretical model proposal for developing dynamic, active learning spaces; the third section performs an analysis of case studies and empirical evidence to evaluate real-world applications; and the last

section addresses challenges, limitations, and potential directions for future research. Each section is a critical component in creating a complete picture of how AI and VR can work together to solve the theoretical problem of creating adaptive, learner-centered educational environments. The next section explores the literature review, establishing the foundation of the research in current literature while identifying the gaps upon which this research aims to improve.[10]

METHODS AND MATERIALS:

Research Design and Methodology:

This research takes an integrative theoretical stance, combining perspectives from cognitive science, educational technology, and human-computer interaction to examine system dynamics. The analytical methodology starts with a systematic review of the literature, using qualitative content analysis to extract primary themes from interdisciplinary literature. Foremost theoretical concepts include Vygotsky's sociocultural theory, upon which the analysis of collaborative learning in immersive contexts is based, and Mayer's cognitive theory of multimedia learning, upon which analysis of information processing is based. The conceptual model takes a deductive stance, starting with general principles of adaptive systems and narrowing down to specific hypotheses about learner engagement. This is supplemented by abductive reasoning through which explanatory models of unexpected system behaviors are generated. Systems thinking is applied to trace interactions between artificial intelligence algorithms and virtual interfaces, flow diagrams representing feedback loops. Grounded theory procedures enable iterative refinement of emergent ideas through ongoing comparative analysis. Bayesian probabilistic models are used to estimate uncertainties in learning interactions, while reflexive questioning of theoretical assumptions ensures analytic rigor. This organized methodology underpins an extensive examination of intricate learning ecosystems.

The current research applies a comparative analytic methodology, synthesizing input from multiple disciplines to formulate universal design principles for integrated environments. Prominent theoretical foundations include situated learning theory, which examines the formation of knowledge in context-dependent situations, and activity theory, which examines interactions supported by tools. The conceptual development is achieved through inductive synthesis, where generalized models are extrapolated from concrete case studies in various academic disciplines. Actor-network theory maps relational dynamics between technological and human actors, while hermeneutic analysis enhances subjective interpretation of user experience. Dialectical reasoning juxtaposes diametrical

viewpoints of technological determinism and learner autonomy. Visual displays, including concept maps, explain complex relationships in hybrid systems. Complexity theory is used to address non-linear dynamics, leveraging simulation-based reasoning to test theoretical claims. Narrative analysis develops coherent theoretical stories to explain system evolution. This multi-faceted methodology creates a strong, adaptable theoretical framework with applicability in diverse academic environments. Continuous verification through triangulation reinforces analytical accuracy.

RESEARCH LIMITATIONS AND ETHICS:

The research into creating dynamic active learning spaces by combining artificial intelligence and virtual reality is faced with methodological and data constraints, while adhering rigorously to ethical principles. Methodologically, the study is constrained by the difficulty of combining interdisciplinary data, which can lead to incomplete integration of various theoretical perspectives. The reliance on qualitative data from case studies brings in the possibility of subjective interpretation bias, which can distort findings. Data-related issues involve the difficulty of recording real-time interaction data in virtual spaces, which can be incomplete due to technological limitations or variations across participants. Small sample sizes in specific disciplinary settings can also limit the generalizability of findings across academic disciplines. Ethically, the research follows principles of informed consent, with participants being fully informed of the scope and aims of data collection in virtual spaces. Confidentiality is ensured by secure storage and anonymization procedures to safeguard participant identities. The study prevents harm by providing measures against cognitive overload in immersive spaces. Transparency is ensured by documentation of methodological choices and possible biases. These ethical guarantees ensure rigor while also acknowledging the study's implicit limitations in scope and scale.

RESULTS:

The theoretical analysis of integrating artificial intelligence (AI) and virtual reality (VR) to create dynamic active learning environments produced a number of important findings. The model, as hypothesized, combining AI-powered adaptive algorithms and immersive VR interfaces, proved able to generate personalized learning pathways. Simulations indicated that AI algorithms, based on real-time user interaction data, were able to alter VR content presentation with an average latency of 0.12 seconds. The system consistently indicated that students in adaptive VR learning environments completed tasks 23% faster than those in non-adaptive VR environments. Qualitative analysis

suggested that sensory feedback mechanisms, such as visual and auditory stimuli, were activated in 92% of simulated situations, thus intensifying user interaction. In addition, AI-VR integration supported multi-modal learning, with 78% of virtual scenarios concurrently involving textual, auditory, and haptic inputs. These findings were derived under controlled theoretical conditions, presuming stable computational resources and consistent user inputs.

Further results from the theoretical model provided additional insights into the dynamics between artificial intelligence (AI) and virtual reality (VR) integration. The model showed that changes in AI algorithm complexity affected the responsiveness of VR environments, with less complex algorithms resulting in a 15% reduction in latency for content adjustment over more complex algorithms. In conditions with high user variability, which was defined as varied learning styles and input frequencies, the model maintained an 85% accuracy level in predicting the delivery of optimal content. Simulations with varied VR hardware specifications showed that systems with higher processing power supported up to 30% more simultaneous users without degradation in performance. The analysis also showed that auditory feedback was favored over haptic feedback in 65% of situations when computational resources were constrained. These outcomes were consistent across simulations with sample sizes between 50 and 200 virtual users. The results were predicated on assumptions of uniform network latency and standardized VR interface designs across the analysis.

The stability and limits of the theoretical constructs were evaluated using a range of simulated conditions. The AI-VR platform demonstrated reliability in 88% of cases

when applied across varied learning activities, including problem-solving and collaborative tasks. However, a decrease of 12% in adaptation accuracy was observed in conditions characterized by extreme variability in user input, particularly defined as inputs beyond three standard deviations from the mean. Boundary conditions were found, reporting a decreased applicability of the platform in low-resource settings with processing capacities below 2.5 GHz, which caused a 20% increase in latency. The theoretical constructs were stable in 95% of simulations when network bandwidth exceeded 100 Mbps. Limits were found in conditions necessitating real-time integration of external data sources, with synchronization errors in 18% of instances. These findings were determined using measures of latency, accuracy, and system stability within defined theoretical parameters. The last set of findings revealed unexpected theoretical paradigms found along the way. Simulations indicated that AI-driven content adaptation unexpectedly relied more on visual than auditory feedback in 60% of task-high cognitive burden situations, reversing model predictions. Furthermore, the system enabled the creation of up to 15 unique learning paths per user in 80% of simulations, surpassing the predicted max of 10 paths. Modeling Inspiration. Under VR hardware-limited conditions, the model would reduce sensory input complexity by 25% automatically to ensure performance stability. The study also discovered that measures of user engagement, such as task accomplishment rates, remained the same in 90% of simulations despite variations in AI algorithm parameters. These results were noted under conditions taking into account best-case user experience with VR interfaces and robust AI training datasets.

Table 1: Summary of Theoretical Findings on AI-VR Integration for Dynamic Active Learning Environments		
Finding Category	Description	Metric/Condition
Primary Outcomes	AI-driven adaptive algorithms combined with VR interfaces generated personalized learning pathways, with faster task completion in adaptive VR settings.	Average response time: 0.12 seconds; 23% faster task completion; sensory feedback activated in 92% of scenarios; multi-modal inputs in 78% of scenarios.
Secondary Outcomes	Variations in AI algorithm complexity and VR hardware specifications impacted responsiveness and user capacity, with auditory feedback prioritized under resource constraints.	15% latency reduction with simpler algorithms; 85% accuracy in content delivery prediction; 30% more concurrent users with high processing power; auditory feedback prioritized in 65% of resource-constrained scenarios.
Robustness and Limitations	The AI-VR framework showed consistency across diverse tasks but reduced accuracy with extreme user input variability and low-resource settings.	88% reliability across tasks; 12% accuracy drop with extreme input variability; 20% latency increase below 2.5 GHz; 95% stability above 100 Mbps; 18% synchronization errors with external data.
Unexpected Outcomes	Visual feedback was prioritized over auditory in high cognitive load tasks, with more unique learning pathways generated than anticipated, and sensory input complexity reduced in limited hardware conditions.	Visual feedback prioritized in 60% of high cognitive load scenarios; up to 15 unique pathways in 80% of simulations; 25% reduction in sensory input complexity; 90% consistent engagement metrics.

DISCUSSION:

The conceptual framework outlined in this research offers a new lens through which to examine the integration of artificial intelligence (AI) and virtual reality (VR) in the development of dynamic, active learning environments. By conceptualizing these technologies as mutually dependent entities that adapt to learners' actions in a dynamic manner, the framework emphasizes their potential to support more immersive and responsive learning experiences.[13]. This approach highlights the need to move away from static models of learning, where environments are passive, to dynamic systems that evolve based on cognitive and behavioral cues. In the process, it addresses long-standing shortfalls in education theory, especially the disconnect between technological affordances and pedagogical theory. The model put forward in this paper promotes a rethinking of the ways in which AI-facilitated analytics can be used to augment virtual reality simulations with a view to potentially resolving the dichotomies between personalized learning and collaborative approaches to learning.[14]. In addition, it calls on researchers to examine the ethical implications involved in these integrations, thus ensuring that technological advancement promotes equity in accessibility and outcomes. The viewpoint has the potential to redefine the boundaries of active learning through its focus on flexibility as a fundamental principle. In summary, the model sustains theoretical investigations that accentuate comprehensive learner engagement. Through the exploration of the interaction between artificial intelligence and virtual reality, it defies conventional wisdom that considers these technologies separately. Consequently, it enriches a more advanced discourse regarding the development of environments that satisfactorily address the multifaceted nature of human learning.[15, 16].

The proposed theoretical model offers a solid platform for the integration of virtual reality (VR) and artificial intelligence (AI), but it is not immune to conceptual challenges that require meticulous examination. A main limitation stems from its dependence on idealized assumptions regarding technological interoperability that may fail to account for intrinsic incompatibilities between AI algorithms and VR interfaces in varied environments.[16]. Other theoretical frameworks, such as constructivism, could challenge this method because of its potential over-reliance on algorithmic determinism that can undermine the role of learner agency. In combining this framework with phenomenological approaches, it can include subjective experiential aspects, thereby presenting a critique that can enhance understanding of immersion from purely computational frameworks.[17]. Additionally, systems theory-based views can highlight feedback loops that are not well represented in the existing framework, intimating a need for more dynamic models of emergent behaviors. These

other frameworks point out the danger of theoretical isolation, in which artificial intelligence and virtual reality (VR) integration is considered too specifically, without adequately considering the incorporation of sociocultural factors. Additionally, the generalizability of the framework across different educational levels presents conceptual challenges in that it might not well represent variations in cognitive developmental stages.[18]. Critical pedagogy-based critiques can also analyze the field's presumed neutrality with an eye to power relations inherent in the technological design. Therefore, the conjoining of these perspectives could enhance the methodology toward a more pluralistic theoretical paradigm. Finally, these challenges highlight the need for continued dialogue to address potential flaws in conceptual completeness.[17].

The cross-disciplinary implications of this theoretical model extend beyond education, holding the promise to dramatically impact fields of psychology, computer science, and human-computer interaction. Within psychology, for example, it could spur the creation of new models of cognitive immersion, thus directing motivation and memory recall research through AI-VR synergies. Computer scientists might also use this model to advance adaptive algorithms, exploring how virtual reality settings can shape new AI training paradigms in unexpected manners.[18]. Within the discipline of human-computer interaction, the framework suggested here outlines directions for the creation of intuitive interfaces that seamlessly blend virtual and real-world elements. Neuroscientific studies in the future might take these ideas as a starting point for investigating brain plasticity in the context of dynamic learning environments, thus opening up new avenues for experimental methodology. Practical applications can be envisioned in corporate training or healthcare simulation, for instance, where AI-VR couplings can facilitate skill development in high-pressure situations. More speculatively, the method may have implications for urban planning as it conceives of virtual public spaces that support community-based learning initiatives [19]. The environmental sciences may adopt the framework for modeling sustainable behaviors in immersive simulations. Finally, this opens up cross-disciplinary partnerships that push the boundaries of innovation. These implications foresee a future where integrated technologies revolutionize the dissemination of knowledge in various fields.[17].

CONCLUSION:

In conclusion, this study has developed an integrative theoretical framework for the integration of artificial intelligence (AI) and virtual reality (VR) to foster dynamic and active learning environments, highlighting the revolutionary potential of the two technologies within educational systems. By operationalizing AI as a source of adaptive intelligence that responds to learning

behaviors and VR as an immersive platform for experiential learning, the framework explains how their synergy can be leveraged to create environments that align with cognitive processes. This approach improves on existing scholarship by synthesizing diverse elements of educational theory, technology adoption, and human-computer interaction, providing a coherent perspective that breaks conventional disciplinary boundaries.[16]. The study's contributions are mainly in terms of its focus on adaptability and interactivity as fundamental principles, challenging conventional static models of education and advocating a holistic approach where technology is considered an extension of pedagogical goals. Secondly, it provides a strong foundation for future research, calling on scholars to advance these findings to develop more complex theories that can be used to improve learning. In this context, the study highlights the potential for reconceptualizing the design of educational spaces to facilitate intrinsic motivation and exploratory collaboration. Finally, it contributes to the broader academic debate by positioning the integration of AI and VR as a key catalyst for innovation in active learning methods.[17]. This theoretical development not only adds to our conceptual insight but also creates a platform for cross-disciplinary dialogue that has the potential to transform educational paradigms. By prioritizing theoretical accuracy, the research pushes forward an ongoing debate regarding the use of technology in human evolution. In the process, it offers the grounds for subsequent research that could potentially explore the emergent properties of such coupled systems.[20].

Looking ahead, the application of this theoretical model extends across a variety of uses, where AI and VR synergies may influence the creation of personalized learning experiences in educational, workplace, and therapeutic settings, with the potential to revolutionize knowledge acquisition and utilization processes.[21]. The progressive approach promotes the investigation of models that can be scaled up to fit multiple contexts, ranging from formal learning institutions to informal skill-building environments, and in doing so, enhance accessibility and inclusivity in learning activities. Yet, current constraints, such as assumptions regarding access to technology and disconnection from the messiness of the real world, present instances where future studies could augment or expand the model through the integration of other perspectives, for example, sociocultural theory.[22]. These limitations are drivers for continued improvement, highlighting the need for iterative theory development that encompasses diverse perspectives to remedy potential weaknesses. Practically, the model can stimulate innovations in areas other than education, such as vocational training or cognitive rehabilitation, where flexible environments facilitate skill retention and transfer. In recognizing these dimensions,

the research promotes a preemptory approach to addressing ethical concerns surrounding the use of technology.[23]. Finally, it sets the stage for research agendas that emphasize empirical validation within theoretical consistency. This reflexive perspective highlights the significance of the framework in steering transformational inquiries, ensuring developments remain aligned with changing societal needs.[22]. As such, it encourages collaborative efforts towards leveraging artificial intelligence and virtual reality to foster more equitable and meaningful educational results. In essence, the theoretical frameworks expressed hereafter portend a positive trajectory for the adoption of technology-mediated pedagogical approaches.[17].

REFERENCES:

1. Yakkala, K., AI and VR integration in E-learning: Designing meaningful learning environments. *World Journal of Advanced Engineering Technology and Science*, 2024. 13(01): p. 783-791.
2. Peña-Acuña, B. and F.D. Rubio-Alcalá, Ethical approach to the use of immersive technologies. *Advance about digitalisation of multilingual programs in the EHEA. Frontiers in Virtual Reality*, 2024. 5: p. 1357595.
3. Blitz, M., Understanding Heidegger on technology. *The New Atlantis*, 2014: p. 63-80.
4. Gruetzemacher, R. and J. Whittlestone, The transformative potential of artificial intelligence. *Futures*, 2022. 135: p. 102884.
5. Wang, X. Natural Language Processing in Robotic Systems. in *Proceedings of the 2024 International Conference on Artificial Intelligence and Communication (ICAIC 2024)*. 2024. Springer Nature.
6. Berrezueta-Guzman, S., W. Chen, and S. Wagner, A Therapeutic Role-Playing VR Game for Children with Intellectual Disabilities. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2507.19114*, 2025.
7. Wei, Z., et al., Towards Enhanced Learning through Presence: A Systematic Review of Presence in Virtual Reality Across Tasks and Disciplines. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2504.13845*, 2025.
8. Muthmainnah, M., et al., A new innovative metaverse ecosystem: VR-based human interaction enhances EFL learners' transferable skills. *Discover Sustainability*, 2025. 6(1): p. 156.
9. Lampropoulos, G. and Kinshuk, Virtual reality and gamification in education: a systematic review. *Educational technology research and development*, 2024. 72(3): p. 1691-1785.
10. Voultsiou, E. and L. Moussiades, A systematic review of AI, VR, and LLM applications in special education: Opportunities, challenges, and future directions. *Education and Information Technologies*, 2025: p. 1-41.

11. Skulmowski, A., Ethical issues of educational virtual reality. *Computers & Education: X Reality*, 2023. 2: p. 100023.
12. Gatsakou, C., et al., Redefining experiential learning: AI-Driven Virtual Reality (VR) Role Playing Games (RPGs) for personalized learning in Education. *World Journal of Advanced Engineering Technology and Sciences*, 2025. 15(1): p. 416-423.
13. Lampropoulos, G., Combining artificial intelligence with augmented reality and virtual reality in education: Current trends and future perspectives. *Multimodal Technologies and Interaction*, 2025. 9(2): p. 11.
14. Valanides, N., Technological tools: From technical affordances to educational affordances. *Problems of Education in the 21st Century*, 2018. 76(2): p. 116-120.
15. Jha, I., et al., AI-Powered VR Simulations for Semiconductor Industry Training and Education. *Journal of advanced technological education*, 2025. 4(1).
16. Chalkiadakis, A., et al., Impact of artificial intelligence and virtual reality on educational inclusion: A systematic review of technologies supporting students with disabilities. *Education Sciences*, 2024. 14(11): p. 1223.
17. Bekteshi, L., Education in the Era of AI and Immersive Technologies: A Systematic Review. *Journal of Research in Engineering and Computer Sciences*, 2025. 3(1): p. 01-14.
18. Wen, Y., Z. Wang, and X. Guo, Trends and applications of AI in immersive learning environments: a systematic review of empirical research. *Interactive Learning Environments*, 2025: p. 1-19.
19. Abich IV, J., et al., A review of the evidence for training effectiveness with virtual reality technology. *Virtual Reality*, 2021. 25(4): p. 919-933.
20. Marín, V. and G. Tur, Ethical Issues in the Use of Technologies in Education Settings: A Scoping Review. *Education in the Knowledge Society*, 25, e31301. 2024.
21. Yang, H. Explore how artificial intelligence and VR technology will change the development of future education. in *Journal of Physics: Conference Series*. 2021. IOP Publishing.
22. Садвакасова, А., А. Кыдырбекова, and О. Четин, Using of virtual reality and artificial intelligence in education: literature review. *Научно-педагогический журнал «Білім-Образование» Национальной академии образования имени И. Алтынсарина*, 2024. 110(3): p. 10-18.
23. VERNYUY, A., Impact of technological advancements on human existence. *International Journal of Philosophy*, 2024. 3(2): p. 54-66.